



<i>Publication Year</i>	2019
<i>Acceptance in OA</i>	2022-06-20T13:38:21Z
<i>Title</i>	Gas, star formation and quenching in galaxy clusters and groups
<i>Authors</i>	POGGIANTI, Bianca Maria
<i>Publisher's version (DOI)</i>	10.5281/zenodo.2635337
<i>Handle</i>	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/32426

GAS, STAR FORMATION AND QUENCHING IN CLUSTERS (mostly) and GROUPS

Bianca Poggianti

INAF-Astronomical Observatory of Padova



Galaxy evolution
Star formation
Enrichment with metals
Gas in/outflows
Gas and stellar kinematics
Role of environment
Quenching
AGN triggering mechanisms, and feedback




IN GALAXY CLUSTERS, BOTH FAST-QUENCHING AND SLOW-QUENCHING?


Clusters are the realm of post-starburst galaxies
(Dressler & Gunn 1982, Couch & Sharples 1987)

See also Wilkinson's talk

Paccagnella+ 2019




In clusters, the median SFR-mass relation is shifted to lower SFRs – large population of outliers below the main sequence within $0.6R_{200}$



Paccagnella+16, Vulcani+10


RAM PRESSURE STRIPPING

Observational evidence for gas stripping in clusters from:



HI - Kenney, van Gorkom and Vollmer
2004, Virgo cluster

HI
UV imaging
H-alpha narrow band imaging
X-ray
IFU spectroscopy
.....and even optical images




H-alpha imaging, Yagi
+ 2010, 2017, Coma
cluster


“JELLYFISH GALAXIES”:

“Galaxies with clearly distorted images with optical data resolving multiple filaments offset asymmetrically from the galaxy ” [Smith et al., 2010, UV asymmetry]

VCC121 (IC3418) in the Virgo cluster

Hester+2010,
Fumagalli
+2011,
Kenney
+2014





Gas Stripping Phenomena with MUSE

ESO Large Programme

<http://web.oapd.inaf.it/gasp/>

PI B. M. Poggianti

C. Bellhouse
D. Bettoni
T. Deb
A. Biviano
W. Couch
G. Fasano
A. Franchetto
J. Fritz
K. George
M. Gullieuszik
G. Hau
Y. Jaffe'
S. McGee
A. Moretti
A. Omizzolo
M. Owers
R. Paladino
M. Radovich
M. Ramatsoku
P. Serra
S. Tonnesen
J. Van Gorkom
M. Verheijen
B. Vulcani

GASP cluster parent sample from OMEGAWINGS survey= OmegaCAM@VST + AAOmega@AAT
and GASP group/field sample from PM2GC survey, based on MGC

120h with MUSE@VLT (+ALMA+APEX+JVLA+UVIT)
observations completed in 2018
94 gas stripping candidates (clusters/groups/field)
20 galaxies as control sample
 $z=0.04-0.07$

where, how, why is gas removed from galaxies?
what is the effect on the galaxy SFH?


Selected to have unilateral debris/tails in optical images

Poggianti et al. (2017) ApJ, 844, 49



ESO
PRESS
RELEASE
1725

GASP CHARACTERISTICS



- SELECTION OF TARGETS: stripping candidates + control


All stripping stages, from pre-stripping (control), to stripping, post-stripping all the way to fully-stripped post-starburst


- Area coverage
FOV(1'X1')=60X60kpc, 4-10 Re

- Galaxy stellar mass range
 $10^9 - 10^{11.5}$



- Host halo mass range
 $10^{11} - 10^{15.5}$









GAS and STELLAR KINEMATICS



The stellar component is not disturbed, regular stellar kinematics:
 gas-only stripping
 Stripped gas maintains coherent rotation for several kpc downstream



RAM PRESSURE STRIPPING AFFECTING THE STAR FORMATION ACTIVITY OF GALAXIES:

Indirectly (through an AGN)

Directly: SF in tails
SF in disks
Quenching


AGN TRIGGERING MECHANISMS AND FEEDBACK:

RAM PRESSURE-AGN CONNECTION

7 galaxies with H α tentacles longer than the diameter of the stellar disk and stellar masses $4 \times 10^{10} - 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$

5 AGN (Seyfert2) and 1 LINER

---- suggesting that ram-pressure stripping is triggering the AGN



ISM interacting with
non-rotating ICM loses
angular momentum

oblique shocks in a disk
flared by magnetic field

Poggianti+ 2017b

nature International weekly journal of science

Home | News & Comment | Research | Careers & Jobs | Current Issue | Archive | Audio & Video | For Authors

Archive > Volume 548 > Issue 7667 > Letters > Article

NATURE | LETTER

日本語要約

Ram-pressure feeding of supermassive black holes

Bianca M. Poggianti, Yara L. Jaffé, Alessia Moretti, Marco Gullieuszik, Mario Radovich, Stephanie Tonnesen, Jacopo Fritz, Daniela Bettoni, Benedetta Vulcani, Giovanni Fasano, Callum Bellhouse, George Hau & Alessandro Omizzolo

Affiliations | Contributions | Corresponding author



Nature **548**, 304–309 (17 August 2017) | doi:10.1038/nature23462
Received 26 April 2017 | Accepted 21 June 2017 | Published online 16 August 2017

AGN TRIGGERING MECHANISMS AND FEEDBACK:

AGN and their OUTFLOWS in JELLYFISH GALAXIES




- 1) Comparison with AGN, shock and HII models using combination of line ratios confirms AGN.
- 2) Nuclear iron coronal lines and extended ($>10\text{kpc}$) AGN-ionized regions in some of the galaxies.
- 3) AGN outflows in 4/6, extending out to $1.5\text{-}2.5\text{kpc}$, with outflow velocities $250\text{-}550\text{km/s}$



Radovich+ submitted

AGN FEEDBACK:

STAR FORMATION QUENCHING IN THE CENTRAL REGION OF A JELLYFISH GALAXY (MUSE+ALMA+UVIT)





Bellhouse+2017, 2019

A central 8kpc-long region depleted of molecular gas and of star formation (central UV hole) – this region is filled with gas ionized by the AGN




George+ 2018



George+ submitted

STAR FORMATION IN STRIPPED TAILS




UGC6697, Consolandi et al. 2017, Abell 1367


IFU data allow us to assess gas ionization mechanism from multiple line ratios.

See also Fossati+ 2019.

SF evidence also from UV+H α (Boselli+ 2018, Abramson+ 2011), UV-only of post-SB (Hester+ 2010, Yoshida+ 2008), and UV-only or H α -only surveys (Smith+ 2010, Yagi and Gavazzi's works)



ESO137-001, Sun et al. 2010, Fumagalli+ 14, Fossati et al. 2016, in Abell 3627



SOS 114372, Merluzzi+2013, 2016, Shapley supercluster

STAR FORMATION IN THE TAILS?

Not always?

NGC 4569 in Virgo
Boselli et al. 2016




STAR FORMATION IN THE TAILS

16 galaxies with long extraplanar H α tails (20-100 kpc long):
the dominant ionization mechanism of gas in the tails (between 65% and 95%) is
photonization by young massive stars.



The SF takes place in H α bright, dynamically cold (median $\sigma=27$ km/s) star-forming clumps forming in-situ in the tails.

Clump H α luminosities typical of “giant HII regions” (eg Carina Nebula) and “supergiant HII regions” (eg 30Dor in LMC). Median 5×10^{38} erg/s.




STAR FORMATION IN THE TAILS

16 galaxies with long extraplanar H α tails (20-100 kpc long):
the dominant ionization mechanism of gas in the tails (between 65% and 95%) is
photonization by young massive stars.





The SF takes place in H α bright, dynamically cold (median $\sigma=27$ km/s) star-forming clumps forming in-situ in the tails.

Clump H α luminosities typical of “giant HII regions” (eg Carina Nebula) and “supergiant HII regions” (eg 30Dor in LMC). Median 5×10^{38} erg/s.





Gas densities and (ionized) gas masses of clumps quite high. Median gas density $\sim 50 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, median ionized gas mass $4 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$.



Median stellar mass $3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$

Fate of these stellar clumps unknown: **Are we witnessing the formation of UltraCompact Dwarf Galaxies / Globular Clusters?**

SF in stripped tails contributes to ICL !


DIFFUSE H α EMISSION (DIG)



In tails, 50% of emission is H α clumps and 50% is DIG.


From BPT, also the DIG in the tails is mostly due to **photoionization by young massive stars** (>80% in most galaxies, but a few exceptions), **but also “LINER-like”** emission component from [OI] line: thermal heating from ICM?

Diffuse emission due to lower luminosity HII regions, or to photon leakage from SFing clumps? Average escape fraction is 18% (ranging between 6% and 46%)




The SFR in the tails is typically a few percent (2-5%), and up to 20%, of the total SFR.

STAR FORMATION AND ITS RELATION WITH GAS: MOLECULAR GAS



Large molecular gas masses in the tails,
and in the disks – 8 galaxies published so
far with low spatial resolution, large
beam



In the disk of 4 GASP galaxies (APEX), molecular gas
mass $\sim 10\%$ of stellar mass as in normal spirals – all
the molecular gas in the tails is extra! (relevant for
Kodama's, Noble's and Dannerbauer's high-z results)

Jachym+ 2014, 2017, Verdugo+ 2015, Lee+
2018 and 4 galaxies in Moretti+ 2018

STAR FORMATION AND ITS RELATION WITH GAS: STAR FORMATION EFFICIENCY



NOW ALMA!!



Individual CO clumps can be studied.

Large amounts of CO up to 35kpc from galaxy center: 5×10^7 to 8×10^8 Msun.

In the tail, mol. gas much more diffuse (larger scales)

Star formation efficiency/Kennicutt-Schmidt:



SF regions from SII line






HI GAS



Generally, HI tails are present in jellyfish galaxies with Halpha tails – but HI and Halpha can be very different....



JVLA C-array





Ramatsoku+ 2019

Ramatsoku+ in prep.


Deb, Verheijen+ in prep.

HI GAS





Ramatsoku+ 2019, and in prep.


HI already depleted (for galaxy mass and surface brightness) – excess of SF for the HI content



Multi-wavelengths tails: an astrophysical laboratory




(enhanced) STAR FORMATION IN THE DISK






Galaxies undergoing stripping show a systematic **enhancement of the *disk* SFR at fixed disk galaxy stellar mass** (0.2dex) == RPS can moderately enhance the SFR in the disk before quenching

QUENCHING: POST-STARBURST SIGNATURES




“Local post-starburst” signature:
outer regions of disks undergoing
stripping



Gullieuszik+ 2017

QUENCHING: POST-STARBURST SIGNATURES




In SAMI, 17 galaxies in clusters: a mix of local post-starburst (with SF in their centers) and global ones


All within $0.6R_{200}$, outside-in quenching in galaxies at first infall

Owers+ 2019

Post-SB much more common in clusters than in lower density regions




POST-STARBURST SIGNATURES: NO (ionized) GAS and NO SF LEFT





Can ram pressure halt the SF completely?

Oh, yes!



Post-starburst spirals, with strong Balmer absorption throughout the disk – SF quenching outside-in – typically located between 0.5 and 1 r_{200}




Santorelli 2018, Vulcani+ in prep.


JELLYFISH GALAXIES ON FIRST INFALL AND RADIAL ORBITS




Those with the longest tails have very high peculiar velocities and small projected cluster-centric radii







Jaffe'+ 2018



ENRICHMENT WITH METALS



Metallicity gradients in disks and tails
– for both control galaxies and
jellyfish galaxies



Franchetto+ in prep.


Bellhouse+ 2019

ENVIRONMENT outside clusters: GROUPS and ISOLATED GALAXIES



Evidence for gas accretion in an isolated spiral


Vulcani+ 2018a



A galaxy group with multiple
processes at work:

ram pressure, strangulation,
merger...and cosmic web?

Vulcani+ 2018b



CONCLUSIONS



- With IFS we are studying the ionized gas and stellar content of galaxies undergoing gas removal and normal galaxies in clusters, groups and the field
- Ram pressure-AGN connection in clusters
- Star formation takes place in stripped tails: the star formation process in such extreme environments is characterized by massive, luminous star-forming clumps whose physical properties seem to follow scaling relations similar to clumps in disks
- Multi-wavelength observations are starting to unveil the connection between the various gas phases (molecular, neutral, ionized gas) and the star formation (activity and quenching)
- In groups and low mass haloes, a variety of physical processes that can be studied with IFS: ram pressure, strangulation, mergers, cosmic web and gas accretion