



Publication Year	2021
Acceptance in OA	2022-03-29T09:12:25Z
Title	A nearby galaxy perspective on dust evolution. Scaling relations and constraints on the dust build-up in galaxies with the DustPedia and DGS samples
Authors	Galliano, Frédéric, Nersesian, Angelos, BIANCHI, SIMONE, De Looze, Ilse, Roychowdhury, Sambit, Baes, Maarten, CASASOLA, VIVIANA, Cassará, Letizia P., Dobbels, Wouter, Fritz, Jacopo, Galametz, Maud, Jones, Anthony P., Madden, Suzanne C., Mosenkov, Aleksandr, Xilouris, Emmanuel M., Ysard, Nathalie
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1051/0004-6361/202039701
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31987
Journal	ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS
Volume	649

These estimators are implemented in the Python astropy module (Astropy Collaboration 2013, 2018). We have designed our own skewness W-estimator:

$$\hat{\gamma}(X) = \frac{\sum_{|u_i| < 1} u_i^3 (1 - u_i^2)^2}{\sum_{|u_i| < 1} (1 - u_i^2)^2}. \quad (\text{F.23})$$

We have also slightly improved astropy's implementation by iterating on Eqs. (F.20)–(F.23), replacing l_x , l_y , s_x , and s_y with $\hat{\mu}(X)$, $\hat{\mu}(Y)$, $\sqrt{\hat{V}(X)}$, and $\sqrt{\hat{V}(Y)}$, respectively, until a 10^{-5} relative accuracy is reached. The tuning parameter is usually taken as $c = 6$ for the mean and $c = 9$ for the variance, covariance, and skewness.

Appendix G: Dust evolution results assuming a Chabrier IMF

We have performed the modeling of Sect. 5.2.2, assuming a Chabrier (2003) IMF. The data have been corrected accordingly: $M_\star$ has been multiplied by 0.61 and SFR by 0.63 (Madau & Dickinson 2014), as these two quantities were derived assuming a Salpeter (1955) IMF. Figure G.1 shows the fit of the scaling relations; it is the equivalent of Fig. 14. Figures G.2 and G.3 display the PDF of the dust evolution and SFH-related parameters, respectively; they are the equivalent of Figs. 16 and 17. The inferred timescales are displayed in Fig. G.4; it is the equivalent of Fig. 18.




Fig. G.1. Fitted dust evolution tracks, assuming a Chabrier (2003) IMF. This is the equivalent of Fig. 14.