



Publication Year	2021
Acceptance in OA	2022-03-11T14:35:22Z
Title	Formation of complex organic molecules in molecular clouds: acetaldehyde, vinyl alcohol, ketene, and ethanol via the "energetic" processing of C ₂ H ₂ ice
Authors	Chuang, K. -J., FEDOSEEV, GLEB, SCIRE` SCAPPUZZO, Carlotta, Baratta, G., Jäger, C., Henning, Th., Linnartz, H., PALUMBO, Maria Elisabetta
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1051/0004-6361/202140780
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31546
Journal	ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS
Volume	650

Formation of complex organic molecules in molecular clouds: acetaldehyde, vinyl alcohol, ketene, and ethanol via the “energetic” processing of C₂H₂ ice

K.-J. Chuang^{1,2} , G. Fedoseev^{2,3,4} , C. Scirè³ , G. A. Baratta³ , C. Jäger¹ , Th. Henning⁵,
 H. Linnartz² , and M. E. Palumbo³ 

¹ Laboratory Astrophysics Group of the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy at the Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Institute of Solid State Physics, Helmholtzweg 3, 07743 Jena, Germany

e-mail: chuang@mpia.de

² Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

³ INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, via Santa Sofia 78, 95123 Catania, Italy

⁴ Research Laboratory for Astrochemistry, Ural Federal University, Kuibysheva St. 48, 620026 Ekaterinburg, Russia

⁵ Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

Received 10 March 2021 / Accepted 1 April 2021

ABSTRACT

Context. The simultaneous detection of organic molecules of the form C₂H_nO, such as ketene (CH₂CO), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), and ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH), toward early star-forming regions offers hints of a shared chemical history. Several reaction routes have been proposed and experimentally verified under various interstellar conditions to explain the formation pathways involved. Most noticeably, the non-energetic processing of C₂H₂ ice with OH-radicals and H-atoms was shown to provide formation routes to ketene, acetaldehyde, ethanol, and vinyl alcohol (CH₂CHOH) along the H₂O formation sequence on grain surfaces in translucent clouds.

Aims. In this work, the non-energetic formation scheme is extended with laboratory measurements focusing on the energetic counterpart, induced by cosmic rays penetrating the H₂O-rich ice mantle. The focus here is on the H⁺ radiolysis of interstellar C₂H₂:H₂O ice analogs at 17 K.

Methods. Ultra-high vacuum experiments were performed to investigate the 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis chemistry of predeposited C₂H₂:H₂O ices, both as mixed and layered geometries. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy was used to monitor in situ newly formed species as a function of the accumulated energy dose (or H⁺ fluence). The infrared spectral assignments are further confirmed in isotope labeling experiments using H₂¹⁸O.

Results. The energetic processing of C₂H₂:H₂O ice not only results in the formation of (semi-) saturated hydrocarbons (C₂H₄ and C₂H₆) and polyynes as well as cumulenes (C₄H₂ and C₄H₄), but it also efficiently forms O-bearing COMs, including vinyl alcohol, ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol, for which the reaction cross-section and product composition are derived. A clear composition transition of the product, from H-poor to H-rich species, is observed as a function of the accumulated energy dose. Furthermore, the astronomical relevance of the resulting reaction network is discussed.

Key words. astrochemistry – methods: laboratory: solid state – infrared: ISM – ISM: molecules – molecular processes – cosmic rays

1. Introduction

Interstellar complex organic molecules (COMs), which are referred to as organic compounds consisting of more than six atoms, are present in various star-forming stages, stretching from interstellar clouds to comets in our Solar System (Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009; Biver et al. 2014; Altwegg et al. 2017; Herbst 2017). Aside from a large number of heterogeneous COMs being hosted in massive hot cores and giant molecular clouds, such as Orion KL, Sgr B2N, and TMC-1, over the past decade, several oxygen-bearing COMs have also been unambiguously identified toward low-mass protostars, such as IRAS 16923-2422, NGC1333 IRAS 2A, and NGC1333 IRAS 4A, as well as prestellar sources, such as B1-b, L1689B, and B5 (Bisschop et al. 2008; Öberg et al. 2010; Bacmann et al. 2012; Cernicharo et al. 2012; Coutens et al. 2015; Taquet et al. 2015, 2017; Jørgensen et al. 2016; Rivilla et al. 2017). For example, COMs described by the formula C₂H_nO₂ (*n* = 4 and 6), such as glycolaldehyde (HCOCH₂OH), methyl formate

(HCOOCH₃), and ethylene glycol (HOCH₂CH₂OH), have been observed toward the solar-mass protostar IRAS 16923-2422 (Jørgensen et al. 2012, 2016). The simultaneous detection of these COMs, characterized by their degree of hydrogenation, implies that they are likely to share similar formation mechanisms. Such a chemical link is also found in another group of O-bearing COMs expressed by the formula C₂H_nO (*n* = 2, 4, and 6), namely, ketene (CH₂CO), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), and ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH). Also these species have been detected toward several protostellar sources, such as NGC 7129 FIRS 2, SVS13-A, IRAS 16923-2422, and the L1157-B1 shock region (Bisschop et al. 2007; Fuente et al. 2014; Lefloch et al. 2017; Bianchi et al. 2018; Jørgensen et al. 2020).

Unlike the case of molecules of the form C₂H_nO₂, the formation chemistry of species of the form C₂H_nO, is still under debate (Enrique-Romero et al. 2016, 2020). Several solid-state mechanisms, such as radical associations, O-atom additions, and hydroxylation, or gas-phase routes have been proposed (Charnley 2004; Balucani et al. 2015; Taquet et al. 2016;

Chuang et al. 2020). For example, Bennett et al. (2005a) reported acetaldehyde formation through radical association reactions between CH_3 and HCO , which immediately form upon the electron bombardment of a $\text{CO}:\text{CH}_4$ ice mixture. Furthermore, electron-induced chemistry using isotope-labeled reactants in combination with mass spectrometry confirmed the formation of other derivatives, including ketene and vinyl alcohol (Maity et al. 2014; Abplanalp et al. 2016). More recently, the reaction of $\text{CH}_3 + \text{HCO}$ was studied theoretically, suggesting that the branching ratios of the final products, that is, CH_3CHO and $\text{CO} + \text{CH}_4$, are dependent on the initial orientation of the reactants (Lamberts et al. 2019). Another possible solid-state pathway leading to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_n\text{O}$ species is through the interactions of hydrocarbons, such as ethylene (C_2H_4) and ethane (C_2H_6), with suprathermal (“hot”) O-atoms (DeMore 1969). For example, Hawkins & Andrews (1983) reported the formation of three different $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}$ isomers (i.e., acetaldehyde, vinyl alcohol, and ethylene oxide) and ketene upon photolysis of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4:\text{O}_3$ in an Ar matrix at 15–20 K. Similar products (with exception of ketene) have also been found in electron-induced suprathermal O-atom experiments using a $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4:\text{CO}_2$ ice mixture (Bennett et al. 2005b). Recent laboratory research by Bergner et al. (2019) studying the interactions between C_2H_4 and excited O-atoms (^1D) generated upon UV-photolysis of CO_2 only confirmed the formation of acetaldehyde and ethylene oxide, while vinyl alcohol formation was not reported. Their experimental results also showed that the excited O-atoms (^1D) reacting with C_2H_2 or C_2H_6 results in ketene and in acetaldehyde and ethanol, respectively. These previous studies indicate that the production of particular $\text{C}_2\text{H}_n\text{O}$ species formed in suprathermal O-atom induced chemistry is strongly dependent on the type of initial reactants and the excited state of the reacting O-atom. Moreover, H-atom abstraction reactions induced by O-atoms (^1D or ^3P) and the recombination of H- and O-atoms originating from energetic dissociation are expected to produce hydroxyl (OH) radicals, which in turn can further react with unsaturated hydrocarbons (e.g., C_2H_2 and C_2H_4) through relatively low barriers (Miller & Melius 1989; Zellner & Lorenz 1984; Basiuk & Kobayashi 2004; Cleary et al. 2006; McKee et al. 2007). In laboratory studies, Hudson & Moore (1997) reported the C_2H_2 hydroxylation resulting in the formation of acetaldehyde and ethanol in H^+ radiolysis of a $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ice mixture at 15 K. Later, other chemically relevant species such as vinyl alcohol and ketene were also identified in similar ice analogs (Hudson et al. 2003; Hudson & Loeffler 2013). Similar organic products have also been observed upon UV-photon irradiation of a $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ice mixture at 10 K (Wu et al. 2002). These experimental studies underline the important role of vinyl alcohol as a key species in the $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ chemistry linking to the formation of ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol on grain surfaces. Energetic processing of C_2H_2 has also been proposed to form larger hydrocarbons; proton radiolysis of pure C_2H_2 leads to the formation of polyynes (sp-hybridized bond) under astronomically relevant conditions (Compagnini et al. 2009).

In molecular clouds, OH-radicals are expected to be abundantly produced through barrierless atomic reactions such as $\text{O} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{OH}$ and $\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H} \rightarrow 2\text{OH}$ on dust grains and considered as indispensable precursor of interstellar H_2O ice (Cuppen & Herbst 2007). Therefore, the direct OH-radical attachment to the available C_2H_2 ice offers an alternative reaction route forming COMs without the need for suprathermal O-atoms originating from energetic processing (i.e., dissociation reactions). Very recently, the surface chemistry of C_2H_2 with “non-energetic” OH-radicals and H-atoms has been experimentally investigated under translucent cloud conditions (Chuang et al. 2020). Here,

the term “non-energetic” refers to “thermalized” species reacting with interstellar ice and has been conventionally used to contrast with energetic chemical triggers, such as photons and fast ions as well as electrons (Watanabe & Kouchi 2008; Linnartz et al. 2015; Arumainayagam et al. 2019). It should be noted that non-energetic reactions indisputably involve energy changes. This study validated the formation of several chemically linked $\text{C}_2\text{H}_n\text{O}$ molecules, such as vinyl alcohol, acetaldehyde, ketene, and ethanol, along with the H_2O ice-forming sequence. The continuous impact of energetic particles or cosmic ray-induced UV-photons will also energetically manipulate the surface chemistry of accumulated ice mantles on dust grains. Therefore, the similar interactions between unsaturated hydrocarbons and available H-atoms or OH-radicals released from H_2O dissociation are also expected to occur in ice bulks, where C_2H_2 is preserved in H_2O -rich ice, or these interactions take place at the interface region between hydrogenated amorphous carbon (HAC) dust and the H_2O ice layer.

In parallel with non-energetic processing in molecular clouds, this work is aimed at investigating the role of energetic processing of unsaturated hydrocarbons, which are deeply buried in or beneath H_2O ice, through impacting cosmic rays before the ice thermally desorbs due to protostar heating. The experimental study presented here is motivated by the abundant detection of C_2H_2 with its fragments C_2H and simultaneous detection of chemically linked $\text{C}_2\text{H}_n\text{O}$ species across various stages of star formation showing distinct COMs composition. More specifically, we investigated the proton (H^+) induced chemistry for $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mixed and layered ice analogs in order to mimic the two scenarios: C_2H_2 preserved in and capped by H_2O ice. The evolution of newly formed COMs, is derived as a function of the accumulated energy dose. The experimental details are described in the next section. The results are presented in Sect. 3 and discussed in Sect. 4. Section 5 focuses on the astronomical relevance of the new data presented here and gives our conclusions.

2. Experimental details

All experiments were performed using the ultra-high vacuum (UHV) apparatus located at the Laboratory for Experimental Astrophysics in Catania. The details of the experimental setup and the latest modifications with the updated calibrations have been described in Strazzulla et al. (2001) and Baratta et al. (2015). Here, only the relevant information of this laboratory work is presented. A sample holder using a KBr window as substrate is mounted on the tip of a closed-cycle helium cryostat and positioned at the center of the UHV chamber. The substrate temperature monitored by a silicon diode with <1 K relative accuracy can be regulated between 17 and 300 K by an Oxford temperature controller equipped with a resistive heater. The base pressure in the main chamber is $\sim 2 \times 10^{-9}$ mbar at room temperature. The KBr substrate is fixed at an angle of 45° for both the infrared (IR) beam and the ion beam path. The proton (200 keV H^+) source used for the energetic processing of the C_2H_2 ice is comprised of a Danfysik 1080-200 ion implanter installed in a separate vacuum line (with a base pressure of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar), which is connected to the UHV chamber by a UHV gate valve. The ion beam is guided to the main chamber through ion optics, and its spot is electrostatically swept to provide a uniform bombardment cross-section with an area of 1 cm^2 covering the entire diagnostic sampling region of the IR beam. The ion fluence is recorded in situ by a current integrator and further converted to an energy dose using the stopping power estimated by the

Table 1. Summary of IR band strength values used for the species analyzed in this work.

Species	Chemical formula	IR peak position (cm ⁻¹)	Band strength value (cm molecule ⁻¹)	Reference
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	3226	3.60E-17	This work
Water	H ₂ O	3329	2.00E-16	Allamandola et al. (1988)
Ketene	CH ₂ CO	2133	1.20E-17	Berg & Ewing (1991)
Acetaldehyde	CH ₃ CHO	1135	4.30E-18	Bennett et al. (2005b)
Vinyl alcohol	CH ₂ CHOH	1147	3.10E-17	Bennett et al. (2005b)
Ethanol	CH ₃ CH ₂ OH	1046	1.40E-17	Moore & Hudson (1998)

SRIM simulation for each experiment (Ziegler et al. 2011) via the equation:

$$\text{Energy dose}_{(200 \text{ keV})} = S_{(200 \text{ keV})} \times F_{(200 \text{ keV})}, \quad (1)$$

where $S_{(200 \text{ keV})}$ is the stopping power for 200 keV H⁺ in units of eV cm² per 16u-molecule, and $F_{(200 \text{ keV})}$ is the total number of impinging 200 keV H⁺ per area in units of protons cm⁻².

Gaseous C₂H₂ (Air Liquide; ≥99.6%) and H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich Chromasolv Plus) or H₂¹⁸O vapor (Sigma-Aldrich; ≥97%), purified through multiple freeze-pump-thaw cycles, are introduced into the main chamber through an all-metal needle valve. Ice samples are deposited by applying “background deposition,” ensuring a rather uniform structure. Two types of ice samples are prepared: “mixed” and “layered” samples. For the deposition of the mixed ice sample, gases of C₂H₂ and H₂O are pre-mixed in a gas-mixing chamber and then condensed on the pre-cooled KBr substrate at 17 K. For the layered ice sample, a sequential deposition of C₂H₂ gas and H₂O vapor is applied and repeated multiple times to reach the same H₂O ice thickness as that of the mixed samples. The applied geometry of layered ice, consisting of depositing C₂H₂ first and followed by H₂O, is not only intended to mimic the interstellar ice mantle, where H₂O ice is accumulated on top of HAC dust, but also to amplify the signal of ongoing interface reactions. The growth of the ice sample is strictly limited to the front side, which is realized by a special design of the sample holder (see Sicilia et al. 2012 for details). The ice thicknesses are monitored in situ by He-Ne laser interference measurements following the procedure described in Baratta & Palumbo (1998) and Urso et al. (2016) and in the range of 0.54–0.71 μm, which is below the penetration depth of the impacting 200 keV H⁺.

The ice sample is monitored using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) in a range from 400 to 7500 cm⁻¹ with 1 cm⁻¹ resolution. The IR absorption area is obtained by Gaussian fitting with one standard deviation used as an error bar. This estimation does not account for uncertainties originating from the baseline subtraction procedure. The column density of parent and product species are further derived by the modified Beer-Lambert law converting IR absorbance area (i.e., optical depth area multiplied by the converting factor of ln 10) to absolute abundance. The applied absorption band strength value of C₂H₂ has been directly measured on the same experimental setup, while other species’ values are taken from the literature (see Table 1). The ratio of the predeposited C₂H₂:H₂O (C₂H₂:H₂¹⁸O) ice mixture is 0.4:1 (0.2:1), where H₂O concentration is always overabundant compared to C₂H₂. The product’s abundance ratio of CH₂C¹⁸O over C¹⁸O as a function of H⁺ fluence is assumed to be similar to the abundance ratio of CH₂CO over CO in a regular H⁺ radiolysis experiment, where ketene

and carbon monoxide cannot be deconvoluted. We note that a recently published absorption band strength for acetaldehyde (see Hudson & Ferrante 2020) shows a non-negligible inconsistency for the CH₃ deformation mode (ν_7 ; ~1350 cm⁻¹) of acetaldehyde among the available literature values. However, the newly reported band strength, namely 5.3×10^{-18} cm molecule⁻¹ for the CH wagging mode (ν_8 ; ~1135 cm⁻¹) of acetaldehyde is close to the value of 4.3×10^{-18} cm molecule⁻¹ that is used in this work. The latter value is taken from Bennett et al. (2005b). In order to minimize the relative uncertainty in the absolute abundances, the band strengths of acetaldehyde and vinyl alcohol are both taken from the theoretical value reported in that work. The utilized absorption peaks of species studied in this work and their corresponding absorption band strength are summarized in Table 1. The obtained column density can easily be recalibrated as soon as more precise values become available.

3. Results

3.1. Product formation of 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed and layered ices

Figure 1 presents the IR absorption spectra obtained after (a) deposition of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice in a ratio of 0.4:1 and (b) 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis (i.e., C₂H₂:H₂O + H⁺) with an H⁺ fluence of 6.0×10^{14} protons cm⁻² (i.e., ~16.4 eV 16u-molecule⁻¹) at 17 K. In the IR spectrum (a) of Fig. 1, the initial C₂H₂ ice is visible at 758 cm⁻¹ (ν_5 ; CH bending), 1374–1422 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_4 + \nu_5$; combination), and 3239 cm⁻¹ (ν_3 ; CH stretching) (Knez et al. 2012; Hudson et al. 2014). The water ice shows up through four broad features at ~742 cm⁻¹ (libration), ~1646 cm⁻¹ (ν_2 ; OH bending), ~3329 cm⁻¹ (ν_1 , ν_3 ; OH stretching), and ~3600 cm⁻¹ (ν_R ; OH dangling) (Gerakines et al. 1995). The presence of the H₂O dangling band implies a relatively high porosity of the deposited ice mixture. The relatively weak signals at 1955 and ~1230 cm⁻¹ originate most likely from low concentration impurities (e.g., C_nH_m) in the acetylene precursor gas that was used. The acetone contamination, which is commonly seen in the acetylene gas bottle, is negligible; the ratio of $N(\text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3)$ over deposited $N(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)$ is below 0.8%. In spectrum (b) of Fig. 1, the peak intensity of signals due to C₂H₂ and H₂O significantly decrease after H⁺ radiolysis. Moreover, the depletion of OH-dangling band is clearly observed suggesting the collapse of the porous structure of the ice bulk upon protons impact (Palumbo 2006). Several new IR features show up; C₂H₄ can be identified by its vibrational bands at 1437 cm⁻¹ (ν_{12} ; CH₂ scissoring) and 956 cm⁻¹ (ν_7 ; CH₂ wagging), and C₂H₆ exhibits its characteristic features at 2975 cm⁻¹ (ν_7 ; CH asym. stretching), 2939 cm⁻¹ (ν_5 ; CH sym. stretching), 2879 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_8 + \nu_{11}$; CH₃ asym. deformation), 1457 cm⁻¹ (ν_{11} ; CH₃ asym. deformation), and 1420 cm⁻¹




Fig. 1. IR spectra obtained after (a, black) deposition of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mixed ice and (b, red) following ion radiolysis by 200 keV H^+ at 17 K. The initial deposition ratio of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mixed ice was 0.4:1, and the applied H^+ fluence was 6.0×10^{14} protons cm^{-2} . IR spectra are offset for clarity.

(ν_6 ; CH_3 sym. deformation) (Shimanouchi 1972; Bennett et al. 2006; Kaiser et al. 2014). This finding is fully in line with the solid-state hydrogenation scheme of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_6$, proposed in the literature (Tielens 1992; Hiraoka et al. 1999, 2000; Kobayashi et al. 2017; Abplanalp & Kaiser 2020; Chuang et al. 2020). The absence of C_2H_3 and C_2H_5 implies a low concentration of intermediate radicals, which have been suggested to associate with H-atoms following H_2O dissociation. Radiolysis products with a single C-atom are also found in the IR spectrum (b). This is consistent with the previous radiolysis studies by energetic particles, showing efficient and complete dissociation reactions of initial hydrocarbon species (Kaiser 2002). The IR feature at 1305 cm^{-1} originates from the CH bending mode (ν_4) of CH_4 . The methane C-H stretching mode at 3010 cm^{-1} (ν_3) is blended by the broad H_2O band centered at 3329 cm^{-1} (Mulas et al. 1998). CO, CO_2 , and probably HOCO also shows up through bands at 2135 cm^{-1} (CO stretching), 2341 cm^{-1} (ν_3 ; $\text{O}=\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching), and 1845 cm^{-1} (ν_2 ; $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching), respectively (Milligan & Jacox 1971; Ryazantsev et al. 2017).

Aside from the above assignments of simple hydrocarbons and carbon-bearing molecules, the IR spectrum (b) of Fig. 1 also shows the formation of oxygen-bearing COMs in the $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{H}^+$ experiment. For example, vinyl alcohol is identified through its CO stretching (ν_9), $\text{C}=\text{C}$ stretching (ν_5), and Fermi resonance with the CH_2 wagging overtone ($2\nu_{13}$) at 1147 , 1640 , and 1665 cm^{-1} , respectively (Hawkins & Andrews 1983; Rodler et al. 1984; Koga et al. 1991). The latter two peaks severely overlap with the initial broad H_2O -dominant feature at $\sim 1646 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the newly formed peak at 1710 cm^{-1} that is assigned to the $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching mode (ν_4) of acetaldehyde. The full identification of acetaldehyde is supported by the simultaneous detection of the CH_3 deformation mode (ν_7) and $\text{C}-\text{C}$ stretching mode (ν_8) at 1350 and 1135 cm^{-1} , respectively (Hollenstein & Günthard 1971; Hawkins & Andrews 1983; Bennett et al. 2005b; Terwischa van Scheltinga et al. 2018; Hudson & Ferrante 2020). The IR features around 1140 cm^{-1}

correspond to the CO stretching mode of vinyl alcohol and CC stretching mode of acetaldehyde as has been confirmed in a previous isotope-labeled study using $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + (^{18}\text{O})\text{H} + \text{H}$ (see Fig. 2 in Chuang et al. 2020). In the present work, therefore, a spectral deconvolution is applied using Gaussian fitting. As reported in Chuang et al. (2020), ethylene oxide, with the highest internal energy among the three $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}$ isomers, is absent in the present work. This result also confirms the proposed formation channel of ethylene oxide, which can be only produced in C_2H_4 containing ice reacting with O-atoms under ISM-like conditions (Bennett et al. 2005b; Ward & Price 2011; Bergner et al. 2019). The hydrogen saturated product ethanol is identified by its non-overlapped IR peaks at 1046 and 1088 cm^{-1} that correspond to the CO stretching modes (ν_{11}) and CH_3 rocking mode (ν_{10}), respectively (Barnes & Hallam 1970; Mikawa et al. 1971; Boudin et al. 1998). The IR feature of ketene shown at 2135 cm^{-1} overlaps with the absorption peak of CO (Hudson & Loeffler 2013). The above identifications of O-bearing COMs are fully consistent with the assignment in the previous non-energetic study of OH-radical and H-atom addition reactions to C_2H_2 at 10 K (Chuang et al. 2020).

The identifications of these COMs are further secured by utilizing isotope-labeled water ice (i.e., H_2^{18}O). The comparison between the IR spectra obtained after 200 keV radiolysis of (a) $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and (b) $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2:\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ ice mixtures under very similar experimental conditions at 17 K is shown in Fig. 2. In addition to simple products, such as C^{18}O_2 (CO^{18}O), C^{18}O , $\text{H}^{18}\text{OC}^{18}\text{O}$, and possibly $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$, there are also newly formed oxygen-bearing COMs exhibiting redshifted vibrational modes involving ^{18}O -atoms. For example, the absorption features of $\text{CH}_2\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$ and C^{18}O are located at 2108 and 2085 cm^{-1} , respectively (Hudson & Loeffler 2013; Maity et al. 2014; Bergner et al. 2019). The $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching mode of acetaldehyde shifts from 1710 to 1678 cm^{-1} , while its other vibrational transitions (not involving ^{18}O -atoms), such as the CH_3 deformation mode (ν_7) and $\text{C}-\text{C}$ stretching mode (ν_8), stay fixed at 1352 and 1135 cm^{-1}




Fig. 2. IR spectra obtained after ion bombardment of (a) C₂H₂:H₂O and (b) C₂H₂:H₂¹⁸O mixed ices by 200 keV H⁺ at 17 K. The applied deposition ratios were 0.4:1 and 0.2:1 for C₂H₂:H₂O and C₂H₂:H₂¹⁸O mixed ices, respectively. The applied H⁺ fluence for each experiment is 6.0×10^{14} protons cm⁻² (~ 16.4 eV 16u-molecule⁻¹). The blue arrows indicate features that are shifted because of ¹⁸O and the dashed lines reflect the absorption features of COMs with ¹⁶O. IR spectra are offset for clarity.

(Hawkins & Andrews 1983; Rodler et al. 1984). Vinyl alcohol is also confirmed by detecting its non-shifted vibrational modes, such as CC stretching (ν_5) and Fermi resonance with the CH₂ wagging overtone ($2\nu_{13}$) at 1678 and 1640 cm⁻¹, while the C¹⁸O stretching mode (ν_9) shifts from 1147 to 1131 cm⁻¹ (Hawkins & Andrews 1983; Rodler et al. 1984). The identification of ethanol is supported by detecting the CH₃ rocking mode (ν_{10}) at 1088 cm⁻¹ and C¹⁸O stretching modes (ν_{11}), which red-shift from 1046 to 1035 cm⁻¹ (Bergner et al. 2019). These IR spectral (non-)shifts strongly support the previous assignments of complex molecules, detected in the 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice at 17 K.

In order to directly compare the radiolysis products of two sample geometries (i.e., mixed and layered ices), IR difference spectra are utilized to highlight the newly formed absorption features obtained after proton impact by subtracting parent molecular IR peaks. Figure 3 presents the difference spectra for (a) C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice, (b) C₂H₂:H₂O layered ice, and (c) C₂H₂:H₂¹⁸O layered ice, obtained at 17 K before and after 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis for a fluence of 6.0×10^{14} protons cm⁻² (i.e., ~ 16.4 eV 16u-molecule⁻¹). As mentioned previously, the studied hydrocarbon chemistry with H-atoms or OH-radicals is expected to take place in two relevant interstellar ice mantle regions; one is in the ice bulks where C₂H₂ is fully mixed with H₂O-rich ice, and another is at the contact surface between H₂O ice and HAC. In Fig. 3, depleted peaks of C₂H₂ and H₂O are intentionally omitted (except for the one at ~ 1400 cm⁻¹) for clarity. In spectrum (a), the newly formed hydrocarbons (e.g., C₂H₄ and C₂H₆) and COMs (i.e., ketene, vinyl alcohol, acetaldehyde, and ethanol) are seen as positive peaks. Besides the R-(C $\equiv$ C)-R peak at 2117 cm⁻¹, a broad feature at ~ 656 cm⁻¹ is tentatively revealed after subtracting the libration peak of H₂O, hinting at the formation of the simplest cumulene (C₄H₄) and polyynes (C₄H₂). These two products have been reported in the literature

investigating energetic processing of pure C₂H₂ ice (Compagnini et al. 2009; Abplanalp & Kaiser 2020; Lo et al. 2020; Pereira et al. 2020). In spectrum (b), the absorption peak at 656 cm⁻¹ is significantly enhanced compared to spectrum (a). Moreover, several peaks originating from C₄H₄ are observed at 941 cm⁻¹ (ν_{15}), 975 cm⁻¹ (ν_{14}), 1223/1248/1276 cm⁻¹ ($2\nu_{17}/\nu_{11}+\nu_{17}/2\nu_{11}$), ~ 1943 cm⁻¹ ($2\nu_{15}/2\nu_{14}$), and broad 3282 cm⁻¹ (ν_1 ; not shown in Fig. 3) (Tørneng et al. 1980; Kim & Kaiser 2009). C₄H₂ can also be identified by its ν_5 vibration at 2010 cm⁻¹, while its two strong absorption peaks located at ~ 652 cm⁻¹ (ν_8/ν_6) and ~ 3277 cm⁻¹ (ν_4) cannot be discriminated from eventual C₄H₄ bands (Khanna et al. 1988; Zhou et al. 2009). Additionally, the spectral assignments of C₄H₄ and C₄H₂ are secured by observing the non-shifted peaks in the 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis of C₂H₂:H₂¹⁸O layered ice experiment in the spectrum (c) of Fig. 3. The enhancement of C₄H₂ and C₄H₄, which is observed in spectra (b) and (c) representing the pure C₂H₂ solid-state reactions in layered experiments, implies direct interactions between neighboring C₂H₂ molecules and is consistent with the non-detection of C₄H_n ($n = 2$ and 4) reported in the experiments with mixed C₂H₂:H₂O ice (Moore & Hudson 1998). This bottom-up chemistry from the simplest alkyne has been proposed as a solid-state pathway enriching longer (hydro-)carbon chains and even (poly-)aromatic hydrocarbons in the ISM (Necula & Scott 2000; Tielens 2013).

In addition to the formation of C₂H₂ derivatives, such as polymers, cumulenes, and polyynes, spectrum (b) in Fig. 3 shows other products as visible from spectrum (a), which only can be explained through interface reactions between the C₂H₂ and H₂O icy layers. The tentative identification of the IR characteristic features of O-bearing COMs is guided by the mixed ice experiments (i.e., spectrum (a) of Fig. 3). In spectrum (b), the IR absorption features of acetaldehyde, vinyl alcohol, and ketene are found at 1352 as well as 1134, 1149, and 2135 cm⁻¹, respectively.




Fig. 3. IR difference spectra obtained before and after 200 keV H^+ radiolysis of (a) $C_2H_2:H_2O$ mixed ice along with (b) $C_2H_2:H_2O$ and (c) $C_2H_2:H_2^{18}O$ layered ices for the same H^+ fluence of 6.0×10^{14} protons cm^{-2} (i.e., ~ 16.4 eV 16u-molecule $^{-1}$) at 17 K. The black arrows followed by dashed lines indicate the absorption peaks of regular COMs with ^{16}O -atoms, and red arrows indicate the absorption peaks of COMs with ^{18}O -atoms. IR spectra are offset for clarity.

Other characteristic IR peaks of these COMs are overlapped with the severely depleted features of C_2H_2 and H_2O in the omitted regions. The isotope-labeled COMs (e.g., $CH_2C^{18}O$, $CH_2CH^{18}OH$) in spectrum (c) provide extra evidence for the correct assignments through the involved red-shifted peaks upon processing of the $C_2H_2:H_2^{18}O$ layered ice. In general, the intensity of the observed COM peaks in the spectra (b) and (c) is smaller than that shown in the spectrum (a) as would intuitively be expected as well. The difference in product yield is due to the limited availability of C_2H_2 for possible interactions with H_2O dissociated fragments; both reactants can only meet at the interface of the layered ice.

The present experimental results confirm that in the solid state, the simplest alkyne (i.e., C_2H_2) reacts with adjacent C_2H_2 fragments (e.g., C_2H , CH , and C_2) and H-atoms, forming larger hydrocarbons and actively participates in the formation of O-bearing COMs through interactions with H_2O radiolysis products, such as OH-radicals and H-atoms. The quantitative analysis of the newly formed COMs and the possible reaction network are discussed in the next sections.

3.2. Kinetics of H^+ radiolysis of $C_2H_2:H_2O$ mixed ice

The assigned IR features have been recorded as a function of H^+ fluence. As mentioned in Sect. 2, the integrated IR band intensities can be converted to column densities for known absorption band strengths. The resulting abundance evolution both of the parent species and the newly formed COMs is then sufficient to reveal the reaction kinetics induced by the H^+ radiolysis.

In Fig. 4, the abundance evolution is shown for the parent C_2H_2 (i.e., $N(C_2H_2)$) in the left panel and for the newly formed vinyl alcohol, acetaldehyde, ketene, and ethanol in the

right panel upon H^+ radiolysis of the $C_2H_2:H_2O$ mixed ice for a H^+ fluence up to 6.0×10^{14} protons cm^{-2} (i.e., ~ 16.4 eV 16u-molecule $^{-1}$). The resulting abundances are normalized to the initial C_2H_2 amount, namely $N_0(C_2H_2)$. The value of $N(C_2H_2)/N_0(C_2H_2)$ is rapidly decreasing at the beginning of the H^+ radiolysis experiment, and the depletion behavior starts slowing down at a H^+ fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm^{-2} (i.e., ~ 4.1 eV 16u-molecule $^{-1}$). At the end of the H^+ radiolysis experiment, about 66% of the initial C_2H_2 is consumed. A very similar C_2H_2 depleting ratio (i.e., $\sim 65\%$) is observed in the isotope-labeled experiment $C_2H_2:H_2^{18}O + H^+$. The time-resolved evolution of the C_2H_2 abundance is further fitted by a single exponential equation (see Eq. (3) in Garozzo et al. 2011):

$$\frac{N(C_2H_2)}{N_0(C_2H_2)} = (1 - \alpha) \cdot \exp(-\sigma \cdot F) + \alpha, \quad (2)$$

where α is the saturation value (unitless), F is the H^+ fluence in protons cm^{-2} , and σ is the effective reaction cross-section in cm^2 proton $^{-1}$. The derived destruction cross-section is $\sim (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-15}$ cm^2 per H^+ (200 keV), which is equal to $\sim 0.07 \pm 0.02$ 16u-molecules eV $^{-1}$.

In the right panel of Fig. 4, the abundance evolution of newly formed products as a function of H^+ fluence is shown, illustrating that different species follow different evolutionary tracks. For example, vinyl alcohol is immediately and abundantly formed upon H^+ radiolysis, implying that vinyl alcohol is a first-generation product. Later, the increasing $N(CH_2CHOH)/N_0(C_2H_2)$ value noticeably slows down around a fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm^{-2} , which coincides with C_2H_2 depletion behavior, and reaches its maximum intensity of




Fig. 4. Abundance evolution of the parent C₂H₂ species (*left panel*) and the newly formed reaction products (*right panel*) in the 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice over H⁺ fluence of 6.0×10^{14} protons cm⁻² (i.e., ~ 16.4 eV 16u-molecule⁻¹). The derived absolute abundances are normalized to the initial C₂H₂ abundance. The dashed lines present the fitting results, and the solid lines connecting data are only for clarity.

~ 0.037 at a fluence of $\sim 3.0 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻². Such a fast-increasing curve that is followed by rapid depletion has also been observed in previous ion-radiolysis studies of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice (Hudson et al. 2003; Zasimov et al. 2020). A similar kinetic behavior is found in the ketene formation curve, implying a shared chemical history; vinyl alcohol is a precursor of ketene as suggested by Hudson & Loeffler (2013). This chemical link is additionally supported by observing a constant ratio between ketene and vinyl alcohol (i.e., $\sim 0.25 \pm 0.03$) over the entire H⁺ radiolysis experiment.

Acetaldehyde shows a similarly fast-increasing trend as vinyl alcohol upon start of the H⁺ radiolysis, representative for a fast enol-keto conversion as observed in previous studies (Abplanalpa et al. 2016; Chuang et al. 2020). This will be further discussed in Sect. 4. However, the acetaldehyde formation curve does not follow vinyl alcohol (or ketene), which starts depleting at a fluence of $\sim 3.0 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻². In contrast, the abundance of acetaldehyde (and also of ethanol) increases continuously with applied H⁺ fluence. Consequently, the accumulated column density of acetaldehyde at the end of the H⁺ radiolysis even surpasses the amount of vinyl alcohol. The final column densities of complex products with respect to the initial C₂H₂ abundance (i.e., $N(\text{products})/N_0(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)$) are obtained with values of ~ 0.044 , 0.025 , 0.020 , and 0.006 for acetaldehyde, vinyl alcohol, ethanol, and ketene, respectively. The composition ratios for the reaction products are summarized in Table 2.

The cumulative abundance of all COMs is shown as a black curve in the right panel of Fig. 4 and can be fitted by a single exponential equation:

$$\frac{N(\text{Total COMs})}{N_0(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)} = \alpha(1 - \exp(-\sigma \cdot F)), \quad (3)$$

where α is the saturation value (unitless), F is the H⁺ fluence in protons cm⁻², and σ is the effective reaction cross-section in cm² proton⁻¹. This results in an effective formation cross-section of $\sim (7.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-15}$ cm² per H⁺ (i.e., 0.26 ± 0.01 16u-molecule eV⁻¹) for the overall complex products. The pseudo first-order fitting suggests that these products

have a common precursor, which acts as a limited reactant in bimolecular reactions. Although the total product abundance nearly reaches a steady-state, the destruction of C₂H₂ is still going on (i.e., lower reaction cross-section derived) and resulting in smaller species, such as CH₄ and CO (Hudson & Loeffler 2013; Zasimov et al. 2020). Therefore, the obtained conversion ratio of the overall complex products to depleted C₂H₂ (i.e., $N(\text{products})/\Delta N(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)$) is a rather dynamic value depending on the applied H⁺ fluence (or energy dose) (see Table 2).

4. Discussion

The H⁺ radiolysis of ice mixtures on grain surfaces primarily causes species to dissociate and ionize, generating a cascade of (high- and low-energy) electrons. These reactions efficiently take place along the H⁺ penetrating path until high energy particles (e.g., protons or electrons) run out of their kinetic energy. The ion radiolysis of H₂O ice has been intensively studied in the literature (Moore & Hudson 2000; Gomis et al. 2004; Loeffler et al. 2006; Buxton 2008; Arumainayagam et al. 2019, and references therein). It has been concluded that the ion-induced excitation of H₂O ice (i.e., H₂O*) is most likely to fragment into OH-radicals and H-atoms and the ionization of H₂O mainly leads to the generation of H₂O⁺ and e⁻. These ions and electrons can further react with surrounding H₂O ice, ultimately enriching the effective abundance of OH-radicals and H-atoms via a series of ion-molecule (e.g., H₂O⁺ + H₂O → OH + H₃O⁺) or electron-ion (e⁻ + H₃O⁺ → H + H₂O) reactions; H₂O radiolysis mainly yields OH-radicals and H-atoms as well as some other derivatives, such as H₂ and H₂O₂ (Buxton 2008). Via the insertion of acetylene in this study, the efficient formation of hydrogenated products, such as C₂H₄ and C₂H₆, as well as hydroxylated organics (i.e., upon OH-addition), such as vinyl alcohol, is the consequence of these H-atoms and OH-radicals reacting with C₂H₂. It is important to note that also ion-molecule reactions might occur along with the H⁺ penetrating path, but these are beyond the scope of this work. A detailed study on solid-state interaction between ions and molecules under interstellar conditions is still required. Here we focus on the reaction network of C₂H₂ interacting with OH-radicals and H-atoms, induced by H⁺ radiolysis of H₂O ice.

The relative abundances of all observed COMs are shown in Fig. 5, unraveling the possible chemical transformation among vinyl alcohol, acetaldehyde, ketene, and ethanol. In contrast to Fig. 4, the horizontal axis is shown on a logarithmic scale to pinpoint the very initial chemistry upon H⁺ radiolysis of the C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice. As discussed in Sect. 3.2, the acetylene and COM abundance evolution show two distinct formation behaviors, which can be roughly separated at a fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻². At the beginning of H⁺ radiolysis, C₂H₂ is quickly consumed and converted to vinyl alcohol, and later after passing its maximum yield, the production of acetaldehyde and ethanol takes over. The observed turning point at such fluence is related to the abundance of available C₂H₂ in the ice mixture; approximately 50% of the overall C₂H₂ depletion (i.e., $\Delta N(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)$) is reached at a fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻².

Hudson et al. (2003) identified the formation of vinyl alcohol by observing its IR feature at 1145 cm⁻¹, which was first reported in UV-irradiation of C₂H₂:H₂O ice mixture by Wu et al. (2002). The authors proposed the chemical link between vinyl alcohol and C₂H₂ in ion radiolysis of a C₂H₂:H₂O ice mixture at 15 K. The underlying formation steps have recently been investigated in a non-energetic processing experiment through OH-radicals or H-atoms addition reactions with C₂H₂ on grain

Table 2. Conversion and composition ratios of products in 200 keV H^+ radiolysis of $C_2H_2:H_2O$ mixed ice at 17 K.

H^+ fluence (200 keV) protons $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	Dose eV 16u-molecule $^{-1}$	$\Delta N(\text{products})/\Delta N(C_2H_2)$	Product's composition ratio			
			Vinyl alcohol	Ketene	Acetaldehyde	Ethanol
1.88E+13	0.5	0.84	0.12	0.40	0.46	0.02
3.80E+13	1.0	0.63	0.53	0.12	0.29	0.06
7.50E+13	2.1	0.36	0.55	0.14	0.24	0.07
1.50E+14	4.1	0.25	0.52	0.15	0.24	0.09
3.00E+14	8.2	0.19	0.44	0.12	0.31	0.13
6.00E+14	16.4	0.14	0.26	0.06	0.46	0.22




Fig. 5. Relative abundances of all observed COMs in the 200 keV H^+ radiolysis of $C_2H_2:H_2O$ mixed ice over H^+ fluence of 6.0×10^{14} protons cm^{-2} (i.e., ~ 16.4 eV 16u-molecule $^{-1}$). (a) Relative abundances of vinyl alcohol over the total yields of ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol. (b) Relative abundances of ketene over acetaldehyde and ethanol over acetaldehyde. The dashed line shows the turning point of product's formation behavior. The data points are connected by solid lines for clarity.

surfaces (Chuang et al. 2020);




or




Theoretical calculations show that in the gas phase both association routes require relatively small barriers, such as 5.4–8.0 and 17.99 kJ mol $^{-1}$ for reactions (4a) and (5a), respectively

(Basiuk & Kobayashi 2004; Miller & Klippenstein 2004). The two to three times lower activation energy of reaction (4a) w.r.t. reaction (5a) hints for a preference of vinyl alcohol formation via hydroxylation of C_2H_2 followed by H-atom addition reactions (i.e., reactions (4a) and (4b)). Moreover, as suggested in theory and laboratory studies, the newly formed vinyl alcohol can immediately be tautomerized (enol $\leftrightarrow$ keto) through an “inter-molecular” pathway if it is surrounded by other highly catalytic species, such as H_2O , C_2H_2 , and acids, forming acetaldehyde (Apeloig 1990; Klopman & Andreozzi 1979; da Silva 2010):



In Fig. 5a, the derived product ratio of vinyl alcohol w.r.t. the sum of the other three COMs studied here is shown; $N(CH_2CHOH)/N(CH_2CO + CH_3CHO + CH_3CH_2OH)$ exhibits a relatively constant value of 1.07 ± 0.15 before a fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm^{-2} . This implies that the increasing amount of vinyl alcohol is proportional to the total formation yield of ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 4, vinyl alcohol is the dominant product at the beginning of the H^+ radiolysis. All these results imply that vinyl alcohol acts as a main precursor for the other COMs. The possible conversion reactions are discussed as follows. Besides the isomerization from vinyl alcohol to acetaldehyde, as in reaction (5), the newly formed vinyl alcohol is also expected to be consumed by H_2 elimination reactions induced by energetic processes forming ketene (Hudson & Loeffler 2013):



or by hydrogen addition reactions forming ethanol:



Reactions (7) and (8) have been individually proposed in studies by Hudson & Loeffler (2013) and Hudson et al. (2003), respectively. Upon reaching a fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm^{-2} , the relative abundance of vinyl alcohol over the total yields of ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol drops by $\sim 67\%$ from 1.10 to 0.36. The depletion time coincides with that of the slowdown of C_2H_2 consumption shown in Fig. 4a, confirming that the formation of vinyl alcohol is limited by the available C_2H_2 reacting with OH-radicals. Moreover, the conversion from vinyl alcohol to other COMs (i.e., reactions (6)–(8)) is still active, effectively decreasing the ratio between vinyl alcohol and the other detected COMs.

Besides reactions (6)–(8) based on the first-generation product vinyl alcohol, all these newly formed COMs are chemically linked through (de-)hydrogenation. Therefore, the reaction

scheme of ketene↔acetaldehyde↔ethanol has also been considered to play an indispensable role in further manipulating the product ratio via H-atom addition reactions (also see Fig. 6):




and



or via H-atom abstraction reactions:



and



The non-energetic interactions between H-atoms and acetaldehyde have been experimentally investigated before under dense cloud conditions to validate the reaction network of ketene↔acetaldehyde↔ethanol (Bisschop et al. 2007; Chuang et al. 2020). It was found that the effective efficiency of abstraction reactions (11) and (12) is generally lower than that of the addition reactions (9) and (10). A similar trend has also been found for the interactions between H-atoms and H₂CO, along the CO-(de)hydrogenation scheme (Hidaka et al. 2007; Chuang et al. 2018). Therefore, these non-energetic dehydrogenation processes are assumed not to affect the complex product composition ratios substantially.

The relative abundances of ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol are shown in Fig. 5b. The $N(\text{CH}_2\text{CO})/N(\text{CH}_3\text{CHO})$ is enhanced by a factor of ~2, from 0.30 to 0.63 before an H⁺ fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻². The favored ketene formation route is consistent with an additional formation mechanism (i.e., reaction (7)). Otherwise, the ketene to acetaldehyde ratio should decrease along with the H⁺ fluence because of the successive conversion from ketene to acetaldehyde (i.e., reaction (9)). An enhancement of the ethanol to acetaldehyde ratio is also found from 0.05 to 0.38 before the H⁺ fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻², supporting that the H-atom abstraction (i.e., reaction (12)) is a less important reaction. However, it is difficult to distinguish between contributions from reactions (8) and (9) because both can increase the $N(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH})/N(\text{CH}_3\text{CHO})$ ratio.

Once the C₂H₂ conversion to vinyl alcohol slows down, which is clearly observed after reaching the H⁺ fluence of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ protons cm⁻² in Fig. 4, the ratio of $N(\text{CH}_2\text{CO})/N(\text{CH}_3\text{CHO})$ shows a rapid decrease by ~80% from 0.63 to 0.13 due to the efficient ketene hydrogenation (i.e., reaction (9)). In contrast, the $N(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH})/N(\text{CH}_3\text{CHO})$ ratio keeps increasing, from 0.38 to 0.48, but with a much lower efficiency than before. The relative slow increasing rate is probably due to the shortage of vinyl alcohol or the continuous increase of acetaldehyde through reaction (9). Since the contribution of vinyl alcohol to the other three products becomes a minor route, the successive hydrogenation scheme of ketene→acetaldehyde→ethanol further mediates the hydrogen-content of COMs, favoring species such as acetaldehyde and ethanol.

5. Astrochemical implication and conclusions

This laboratory study validates a solid reaction network for forming interstellar COMs described by the formula C₂H_nO, such




Fig. 6. Proposed reaction diagram linking the parent species C₂H₂ (containing C≡C bond) and newly formed COMs (containing C=C and C–C bonds) in H⁺ radiolysis of C₂H₂:H₂O ice mixture. VA: vinyl alcohol; AC: acetaldehyde; Ket: ketene; Eth: ethanol.

as vinyl alcohol, acetaldehyde, ketene, and ethanol, through 200 keV H⁺ radiolysis of interstellar C₂H₂:H₂O ice analogs at 17 K. In this work, we extend the recently studied non-energetic chemistry of C₂H₂ with OH-radicals and H-atoms to the energetic counterpart triggered by cosmic rays. The initial and newly formed species are monitored in situ in the solid state by IR spectroscopy. The product identifications are further secured using isotope-labeled precursors (i.e., H₂¹⁸O). The quantitative analysis of the depletion of parent C₂H₂ and the formation of complex products, which are shown as a function of energy dose, provides information on the involved reaction kinetics. The experimental results are of astronomical relevance to understand the chemistry of unsaturated hydrocarbons deeply buried in H₂O ice induced by the continuous cosmic ray impacts along with the evolution of molecular cloud before ice mantle evaporates due to the warming up induced by the protostar.

In the gas phase, C₂H₂ has been commonly observed in the circumstellar shell of carbon-rich AGB stars such as IRC+10216, together with its photolysis fragment C₂H, and it also has been abundantly detected toward interstellar clouds (C₂H₂/CO = $\sim 10^{-3}$), massive young stellar objects (YSOs; C₂H₂/H₂O = $\sim (1-5) \times 10^{-2}$), and protoplanetary disks (C₂H₂/H₂O = $\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$) (Keady & Hinkle 1988; Lacy et al. 1989; Cernicharo et al. 1999; Lahuis & van Dishoeck 2000; Carr & Najita 2008). Although the direct observation of icy C₂H₂ in the ISM is limited to one observational study by Knez et al. (2008), a solid-state origin of C₂H₂ is widely accepted to explain the observed abundance in the gas phase (Sonnentrucker et al. 2007). This is also supported by the observations toward cometary comae, which are regarded as pristine material inherited from interstellar molecular clouds, showing the ratio of C₂H₂ over H₂O is in the range of $(0.2-0.9) \times 10^{-2}$ (Brooke et al. 1996; Mumma et al. 2003). Alternatively, modeling and laboratory studies proposed a top-down formation mechanism of C₂H₂ through surface erosion of hydrogenated amorphous carbonaceous dust (HAC) or photodissociation of ionized polycyclic

aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in harsh UV-photons prevailing regions (Jochims et al. 1994; Allain et al. 1996; Le Page et al. 2003; Jäger et al. 2011; Zhen et al. 2014; West et al. 2018).

The investigated solid-state reactions between C_2H_2 and H_2O radiolysis fragments, such as OH-radicals and H-atoms, are shown as a prevalent pathway transforming the simplest alkyne, C_2H_2 , to O-bearing COMs in icy environments where H_2O is the major constituent of interstellar ices. In translucent clouds ($1 \leq A_V \leq 5$), atomic gases, such as H- and O-atoms, accrete on grain surfaces and associate with available species. For example, H_2O ice is mainly formed through the successive H-atom addition reactions to O-atoms:



Other oxygen allotropes (e.g., O_2 and O_3) have also been investigated in theory and laboratory, showing alternative pathways enriching the solid-state H_2O abundance via the same intermediate OH-radicals (see Fig. 4 in Linnartz et al. 2015). Meanwhile, it has been suggested that OH-radicals can react with hydrocarbons (e.g., alkynes and methyl radicals) or molecules (e.g., CO) forming alcohols and CO_2 , respectively (Basiuk & Kobayashi 2004; Ioppolo et al. 2011; Qasim et al. 2018). In general, however, most OH-radicals are expectedly transformed into H_2O ice, given abundant (atomic and molecular) hydrogen present in molecular clouds (Cuppen & Herbst 2007). Astronomical observations toward various cloud-embedded young stellar objects (YSOs) have shown the H_2O ice is predominant on dust grains and suggested a thick H_2O -rich ice layer (tens of monolayer; Boogert et al. 2015). Later, in cold and dense clouds ($A_V > 10$, typically within 10^5 years assuming $n_H = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$), CO takes over the gas accreting processes forming an apolar ice layer on top of H_2O ice and increasing the total thickness of ice mantle by a factor ~ 2 (Boogert et al. 2015). In the rest time of molecular clouds (i.e., 10^{5-7} yr) before thermal sublimation, several simple molecules, which are preserved in the ice mantle, are exclusively irradiated by energetic particles, such as cosmic rays, UV, and X-ray photons. For example, deeply buried H_2O ice covering carbonaceous grain is expected to be dissociated, resulting in OH-radicals and H-atoms. These suprathreshold H-atoms and OH-radicals (in the ground or excited states) have been proposed to react with adjacent C_2H_2 or C_2H actively (Michael et al. 1979; Smith et al. 1984; Senosiain et al. 2005; McKee et al. 2007). These induced hydroxylation (or hydrogenation) reactions to C_2H_2 further augment COM yields in the H_2O -rich ice layer in addition to the non-energetic scenario prevailing in earlier translucent clouds (Chuang et al. 2020). Moreover, the studied formation network of C_2H_nO species starting from C_2H_2 is also expected to take place at later stages of star formation, such as midplanes of protoplanetary disks or cometary ices, where C_2H_2 has been abundantly identified in H_2O -rich ice (Brooke et al. 1996; Altwegg et al. 2019). The chemistry induced by cosmic rays impact is still active at these stages but accounts for different fraction of all energetic inputs.

The investigated interactions of C_2H_2 with H-atoms or OH-radicals, which originate from gas-phase accretion (i.e., non-energetic processing on dust grains) or H_2O dissociation (i.e., energetic processing in ice bulks), all lead to qualitatively similar products. In this work, experimental results prove the chemical connections among vinyl alcohol, acetaldehyde, ketene, and ethanol, supporting astronomical observations showing the contemporaneous presence of C_2H_nO ($n = 2, 4$, and 6) species in various astronomical objects. In particular, ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol have been jointly identified toward several

star-forming regions, comet C/2014 Q2 (Lovejoy), protostars NGC 7129 FIRS 2, SVS13-A, IRAS 16923-2422A and B, Sgr B2(N2), protostellar L1157-B1 shock regions, and even molecular cloud B5 (Bisschop et al. 2007; Fuente et al. 2014; Lefloch et al. 2017; Taquet et al. 2017; Bianchi et al. 2018; Biver & Bockelée-Morvan 2019; Jørgensen et al. 2020; Manigand et al. 2020). Moreover, acetaldehyde and ketene have been abundantly found in dark and dense clouds, such as B1-b and L1689B, as well as in translucent clouds, such as CB 17, CB 24, and CB 228, implying a low-temperature origin for these species (Turner et al. 1999; Bacmann et al. 2012; Cernicharo et al. 2012). A quantitative comparison for all these detections shows that the amounts of acetaldehyde, ketene, and ethanol are quite different in early molecular clouds and collapsing stages; ketene and acetaldehyde are more commonly detected in molecular clouds, while ethanol (the hydrogen-saturated species) is generally the dominant molecule in protostellar objects and cometary ices, that is at later evolutionary stages.

Acetaldehyde and the other two isomers (syn-)vinyl alcohol and ethylene oxide, which have a higher energy by 72.4 and 96 kJ mol^{-1} , respectively, have all been reported in the massive star-forming region Sgr B2N with a suggested abundance ratio of acetaldehyde: vinyl alcohol: ethylene oxide = 800:1:1.5, assuming it is an optically thick source (Turner & Apponi 2001). The uncertainty of the absolute abundance of acetaldehyde has been questioned and remains inconclusive (Ikeda et al. 2001). For low-mass protostars, ethylene oxide has been identified toward IRAS 16923-2422B in the PILS survey¹ and towards the prestellar core L1689B, concluding that the abundance of acetaldehyde is at least an order of magnitude higher than the value of ethylene oxide (Lykke et al. 2017; Bacmann et al. 2019). An unambiguous detection of vinyl alcohol still lacks in these observations, probably due to relatively weak transitions or chemical instability of vinyl alcohol (enol-keto tautomerization) (Bacmann et al. 2019). Therefore, only upper limits have been reported, namely $N(CH_3CHO)/N(CH_2CHOH) \geq 9.2$ and 35 for L1689B and IRAS 16923-2422B, respectively (Lykke et al. 2017; Bacmann et al. 2019). Also a recent large study strictly searching for vinyl alcohol toward multiple solar-mass protostars has led to setting upper limits (Melosso et al. 2019). These observations consistently point out that acetaldehyde is the most abundant species among the three C_2H_4O isomers in star-forming regions. These observations are in line with the present laboratory findings that show an efficient chemical transformation of vinyl alcohol to its chemical derivatives such as ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol. The backward conversion from acetaldehyde to vinyl alcohol or ethylene oxide is not favorable due to the high internal energy difference.

The relative amounts of ketene, acetaldehyde, and ethanol as a function of energy dose, observed in this laboratory work, is plotted in the mid-panel of Fig. 7 and further compared to the non-energetic experimental findings of similar C_2H_2 interactions with H-atoms and OH-radicals on dust grains. Upon start of H^+ radiolysis, around an energy dose of $\sim 0.5 \text{ eV } 16\text{-molecule}^{-1}$, ethanol only contributes to $\sim 4\%$ of the total yield. Acetaldehyde and ketene are present as main products and account for ~ 74 and $\sim 22\%$ of the total yield, respectively. Along with accumulating energy, up to a value of $16.4 \text{ eV } 16\text{-molecule}^{-1}$, Fig. 7 clearly shows that hydrogenated species such as ethanol are favored. The composition of ethanol increases by a factor of ~ 7.3 over $\sim 16.4 \text{ eV } 16\text{-molecule}^{-1}$. In contrast, the ketene contribution remains relatively stable (i.e., 22–31%) before $\sim 4.1 \text{ eV } 16\text{-molecule}^{-1}$ due to the competition between

¹ <http://youngstars.nbi.dk/PILS>




Fig. 7. Composition evolution of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and ketene as a function of energy dose in the H⁺ radiolysis experiment of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed ice at 17 K (middle panel). Here, “time” stands for the approximate years required in dense molecular clouds to reach the same amount of energy dose. The shadow area (in background) reflects the composition ratio in previous non-energetic study obtained from Chuang et al. (2020). The selected observational data toward dense cloud Barnard 5 (left panel), protostellar shock region L1157 B1, protostar IRAS 16293-2422A, B, HH 212, B1-c, and S68N, as well as comet C/2014 Q2(Lovejoy) (right panel), are obtained from Taquet et al. (2017), Lefloch et al. (2017), Manigand et al. (2020), Jørgensen et al. (2018), Lee et al. (2019), van Gelder et al. (2020) and Biver & Bockelée-Morvan (2019) respectively, standing for an early and later stage in the star-formation process.

formation and destruction mechanisms. Later, it significantly drops when vinyl alcohol is largely reduced. At an energy dose of $\sim 16.4 \text{ eV } 16\text{u-molecule}^{-1}$, the final composition is found as $\sim 29\%$ for ethanol, $\sim 63\%$ for acetaldehyde, and $\sim 8\%$ for ketene. A very similar chemical trend favoring hydrogen-rich species has been found in previous non-energetic processing of C₂H₂. As shown in the mid-panel of Fig. 7, the derived composition from the C₂H₂ + H + OH study is $\sim 51\%$, $\sim 41\%$, and $\sim 8\%$ for ethanol, acetaldehyde, and ketene, respectively, after H-atom fluence reaches $\sim 4 \times 10^{16} \text{ atoms cm}^{-2}$ under the applied experimental conditions. A higher fraction of ethanol is observed and most likely linked to a larger amount of H-atoms originated from the gas-phase accretion, which enhances the hydrogenation channel of acetaldehyde $\rightarrow$ ethanol. Non-energetic and energetic processing all account for the chemical transformation from unsaturated hydrocarbons to O-bearing COMs under molecular cloud conditions.

In order to estimate the equivalent time required to accumulate the same amount of energy dose under molecular cloud conditions, an approximation is made by assuming monoenergetic 1 MeV protons with a constant flux of $1 \text{ proton cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ prevailing in such regions (Mennella et al. 2003; Palumbo 2006). It is important to note that the accumulated energy dose is conventional units, which stand for the effective energy deposited on each molecule with a mass of 16u. Such units is independent of types of impacting ions and the carrying kinetic energies.

Therefore, energy deposited on a 16u-molecule originating from 200 keV H⁺ is considered as the same as the energy dose from a 1 MeV H⁺ impinging;

$$\text{Energy dose}_{(200 \text{ keV})} = \text{Energy dose}_{(1 \text{ MeV})}. \quad (14)$$

According to Eq. (1), energy dose is the product of stopping power (i.e., S) and ion-fluence (i.e., $F = \phi \times t$, where ϕ is the flux in ions $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and t in seconds). Under these assumptions, the 1 MeV proton fluence required to reach the same energy dose in molecular clouds is proportional to the 200 keV H⁺ fluence in the laboratory. The scaling factors include the ratio of stopping powers ($S_{(200 \text{ keV})}/S_{(1 \text{ MeV})} \cong 2.78$, obtained from SRIM calculation). Therefore, the estimated time in a molecular cloud can be described by the formula (see Palumbo 2006 and Sicilia et al. 2012 for details):

$$t_{(1 \text{ MeV})} = \frac{S_{(200 \text{ keV})}}{S_{(1 \text{ MeV})}} \times \frac{1}{\phi_{(1 \text{ MeV})}} \times F_{(200 \text{ keV})}, \quad (15)$$

where $\phi_{(1 \text{ MeV})} = 1 \text{ proton cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The derived corresponding timescale stretches from 1.6×10^6 to 5.3×10^7 years shown in the upper horizontal-axis by assuming a cosmic ionization rate of $\xi_{\text{CR}} = 6 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in molecular clouds. This period is close to the typical lifetime of molecular clouds ($\sim 10^7 \text{ yr}$; Chevance et al. 2020). It is important to note

that interstellar ice analogs studied in this work aim to mimic a H₂O-rich ice mantle in molecular clouds rather than to represent a realistic ice composition containing several (abundant) ice constituents. Therefore, the obtained experimental data demonstrate the chemical transition of favoring hydrogenated species with energy dose accumulation in star-forming regions. The cosmic ray impact onto the ice mantle additionally enhances the internal conversion of newly formed species taking place during the transition stage from molecular clouds to protostars. The studied solid-state chemistry provides a possible explanation for observational discrepancies between dense molecular cloud (left-handed panel of Fig. 7), such as B5, and later stages (right-handed panel of Fig. 7), such as low-mass protostellar shock region L1157 B1, protostars IRAS 16293-2422A, B, HH 212, B1-c, and S68N, as well as comet C/2014 Q2 (Lovejoy) (Lefloch et al. 2017; Taquet et al. 2017; Jørgensen et al. 2018; Biver & Bockelée-Morvan 2019; Lee et al. 2019; van Gelder et al. 2020; Manigand et al. 2020), assuming the net transfer from the solid to gas phase is 1:1 ratio for all COMs. The simultaneous and accumulative effects of non-energetic and energetic processing require further astrochemical modeling in order to simulate solid-state reactions occurring on surfaces and in ice bulks containing realistic chemical compositions covering an astronomical timescale.

Based on the present study on the solid-state chemistry of C₂H₂ with OH-radicals and H-atoms in the H⁺ radiolysis of C₂H₂:H₂O ice analogs, the main experimental findings can be summarized as below:

1. C₂H₂ reacting with H-atoms and OH-radicals, produced by H⁺ radiolysis of H₂O ice, forms (semi-)saturated hydrocarbons, such as C₂H₄ and C₂H₆, as well as complex molecules described by the formula C₂H_nO, such as vinyl alcohol (CH₂CHOH), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), ketene (CH₂CO), and ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH), on grain surfaces at 17 K;
2. H⁺ radiolysis experiments on different geometries of C₂H₂:H₂O mixed and layered ices result in qualitatively similar complex molecules, implying that the interface chemistry of H₂O ice with unsaturated hydrocarbons on top of dust grains might additionally contribute to COM formation in the solid state;
3. The experimental results show a kinetic evolution of parent C₂H₂ and the newly formed complex products as a function of exposure energy; the effective destruction cross-section of C₂H₂ is $(1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$ per H⁺ at 200 keV (i.e., $0.07 \pm 0.02 \text{ eV } 16\text{u-molecule}^{-1}$) and the formation cross-section of the overall two-carbon COMs is $(7.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$ per H⁺ at 200 keV (i.e., $0.26 \pm 0.01 \text{ eV}/16\text{u-molecule}^{-1}$). The product composition ratio and conversion efficiency are summarized in Table 2;
4. Experimental results suggest that vinyl alcohol, a species carrying a C=C double bond, is the first-generation product in the H⁺ radiolysis chemistry of C₂H₂:H₂O, acting as a precursor of other O-bearing COMs. The hydrogenation reactions further increase the hydrogen content of the newly formed species by converting ketene to acetaldehyde or acetaldehyde to ethanol, respectively. A clear transition of the chemical composition is found as a function of energy dose, linking the observational compositions toward dense clouds and later star-forming stages.

The chemistry of the unsaturated hydrocarbons such as alkyne C₂H₂ in the current study has been verified in laboratory studies, suggesting an efficient reaction network forming complex organics in interstellar environments (DeMore 1969; Hawkins & Andrews 1983; Hudson & Moore 1997; Hudson & Loeffler 2013; Bennett et al. 2005b; Bergner et al. 2019; Chuang et al.

2020). In addition to the recombination of single carbon containing radicals, such as HCO, CH₂OH, and CH₃O on grain surfaces, the investigated ice chemistry between hydrocarbons (containing multiple C-atoms through double or triple bond) and atoms (or radicals) offers an additional formation mechanism leading to molecular complexity in the solid state. A similar mechanism is also expected to form N- or S-bearing COMs. A qualitative study focusing on absolute COM yields for different (non-)energetic processing, such as (atoms) fast ions and electrons, as well as the UV and the X-ray photons, is needed to comprehensively reveal the chemical evolution of these interstellar ices at different stages of star-formation.

Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the project PRIN-INAF 2016 The Cradle of Life – GENESIS-SKA (General Conditions in Early Planetary Systems for the rise of life with SKA). G.F. acknowledges the financial support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 664931 and from Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education via the State Assignment Contract FEUZ-2020-0038. Th. H. acknowledges support from the European Research Council under the Horizon 2020 Framework Program via the ERC Advanced Grant Origins 83 24 28. We gratefully acknowledge support by NOVA (the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy), and by NWO, within the framework of the Dutch Astrochemistry Network II and a NWO- VICI grant. This work has been supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center of Excellence "InterCat" (Grant agreement no.: DNRF150).

References

- Abplanalp, M. J., & Kaiser, R. I. 2020, *ApJ*, **889**, 3
- Abplanalp, M. J., Gozenc, S., Krylov, A. I., et al. 2016, *PNAS*, **113**, 7727
- Allain, T., Leach, S., & Sedlmayr, E. 1996, *A&A*, **305**, 616
- Allamandola, L. J., Sandford, S. A., & Valero, G. J. 1988, *Icarus*, **76**, 225
- Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., Berthelier, J. J., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, S130
- Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., & Fuselier, S. A. 2019, *ARA&A*, **57**, 113
- Apeolig, Y. 1990, *The Chemistry of Enols*, ed. Z. Rappoport (Wiley, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons)
- Arumainayagam, C. R., Garrod, R. T., Boyer, M. C., et al. 2019, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **48**, 2293
- Bacmann, A., Taquet, V., Faure, A., Kahane, C., & Ceccarelli, C. 2012, *A&A*, **541**, L12
- Bacmann, A., Faure, A., & Berteaud, J. 2019, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, **3**, 1000
- Balucani, N., Ceccarelli, C., & Taquet, V. 2015, *MNRAS*, **449**, L16
- Baratta, G. A., & Palumbo, M. E. 1998, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, **15**, 3076
- Baratta, G., Chaput, D., Cottin, H., et al. 2015, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **118**, 211
- Barnes, A., & Hallam, H. 1970, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **66**, 1932
- Basiuk, V. A., & Kobayashi, K. 2004, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.*, **97**, 713
- Bennett, C. J., Jamieson, C. S., Osamura, Y., & Kaiser, R. I. 2005a, *ApJ*, **624**, 1097
- Bennett, C. J., Osamura, Y., Lebar, M. D., & Kaiser, R. I. 2005b, *ApJ*, **634**, 698
- Bennett, C. J., Jamieson, C. S., Osamura, Y., & Kaiser, R. I. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 792
- Berg, O., & Ewing, G. E. 1991, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **95**, 2908
- Bergner, J. B., Öberg, K. I., & Rajappan, M. 2019, *ApJ*, **874**, 115
- Bianchi, E., Codella, C., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **483**, 1850
- Bisschop, S. E., Jørgensen, J. K., van Dishoeck, E. F., & de Wachter, E. B. M. 2007, *A&A*, **465**, 913
- Bisschop, S. E., Jørgensen, J. K., Bourke, T. L., Bottinelli, S., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2008, *A&A*, **488**, 959
- Biver, N., & Bockelée-Morvan, D. 2019, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, **3**, 1550
- Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Debout, V., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **566**, L5
- Boogert, A. C. A., Gerakines, P. A., & Whittet, D. C. B. 2015, *ARA&A*, **53**, 541
- Boudin, N., Schutte, W. A., Greenberg, J. M., et al. 1998, *A&A*, **331**, 749
- Brooke, T., Tokunaga, A., Weaver, H., et al. 1996, *Nature*, **383**, 606
- Buxton, G. V. 2008, *Radiation chemistry: from basics to applications in material and life sciences EDP Sciences*
- Carr, J. S., & Najita, J. R. 2008, *Science*, **319**, 1504
- Cernicharo, J., Yamamura, I., González-Alfonso, E., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, **526**, L41
- Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Roueff, E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **759**, L43
- Charnley, S. 2004, *Adv. Space Res.*, **33**, 23
- Chevance, M., Kruijssen, J. D., Vazquez-Semadeni, E., et al. 2020, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **216**
- Chuang, K.-J., Fedoseev, G., Qasim, D., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **853**, 102
- Chuang, K.-J., Fedoseev, G., Qasim, D., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **635**, A199
- Cleary, P. A., Romero, M. T. B., Blitz, M. A., et al. 2006, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **8**, 5633

- Compagnini, G., D’Urso, L., Puglisi, O., Baratta, G., & Strazzulla, G. 2009, *Carbon*, **47**, 1605
- Coutens, A., Persson, M. V., Jørgensen, J. K., Wampfler, S. F., & Lykke, J. M. 2015, *A&A*, **576**, A5
- Cuppen, H., & Herbst, E. 2007, *ApJ*, **668**, 294
- da Silva, G. 2010, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **49**, 7523
- DeMore, W. 1969, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **1**, 209
- Enrique-Romero, J., Rimola, A., Ceccarelli, C., & Balucani, N. 2016, *MNRAS*, **459**, L6
- Enrique-Romero, J., Álvarez-Barcia, S., Kolb, F., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, **493**, 2523
- Fuente, A., Cernicharo, J., Caselli, P., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **568**, A65
- Garozzo, M., La Rosa, L., Kanuchova, Z., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **528**, A118
- Gerakines, P. A., Schutte, W. A., Greenberg, J. M., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1995, *A&A*, **296**, 810
- Gomis, O., Leto, G., & Strazzulla, G. 2004, *A&A*, **420**, 405
- Hawkins, M., & Andrews, L. 1983, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 2523
- Herbst, E. 2017, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **36**, 287
- Herbst, E., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 427
- Hidaka, H., Kouchi, A., & Watanabe, N. 2007, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **126**, 204707
- Hiraoka, K., Yamamoto, K., Kihara, Y., Takayama, T., & Sato, T. 1999, *ApJ*, **514**, 524
- Hiraoka, K., Takayama, T., Euch, A., Handa, H., & Sato, T. 2000, *ApJ*, **532**, 1029
- Hollenstein, H., & Günthard, H. H. 1971, *Spectroch. Acta A: Mol. Spectrosc.*, **27**, 2027
- Hudson, R. L., & Ferrante, R. F. 2020, *MNRAS*, **492**, 283
- Hudson, R. L., & Loeffler, M. J. 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 109
- Hudson, R., & Moore, M. 1997, *Icarus*, **126**, 233
- Hudson, J. E., Hamilton, M. L., Vallance, C., & Harland, P. W. 2003, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **5**, 3162
- Hudson, R., Ferrante, R., & Moore, M. 2014, *Icarus*, **228**, 276
- Ikedo, M., Ohishi, M., Nummelin, A., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, **560**, 792
- Ioppolo, S., van Boheemen, Y., Cuppen, H. M., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Linnartz, H. 2011, *MNRAS*, **413**, 2281
- Jäger, C., Mutschke, H., Henning, T., & Huiskens, F. 2011, *EAS Publ. Ser.*, **46**, 293
- Jochims, H., Ruhl, E., Baumgartel, H., Tobita, S., & Leach, S. 1994, *ApJ*, **420**, 307
- Jørgensen, J. K., Favre, C., Bisschop, S. E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **757**, L4
- Jørgensen, J. K., van der Wiel, M. H. D., Coutens, A., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **595**, A117
- Jørgensen, J., Müller, H., Calcutt, H., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **620**, A170
- Jørgensen, J. K., Belloche, A., & Garrod, R. T. 2020, *ARA&A*, **58**, 727
- Kaiser, R. I. 2002, *Chem. Rev.*, **102**, 1309
- Kaiser, R. I., Maity, S., & Jones, B. M. 2014, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **16**, 3399
- Keady, J. J., & Hinkle, K. H. 1988, *ApJ*, **331**, 539
- Khanna, R., Ospina, M. J., & Zhao, G. 1988, *Icarus*, **73**, 527
- Kim, Y., & Kaiser, R. 2009, *ApJ*, **181**, 543
- Klopman, G., & Andreozzi, P. 1979, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.*, **88**, 875
- Knez, C., Moore, M., Travis, S., et al. 2008, *Proc. Int. Astron. Union*, **4**, 47
- Knez, C., Moore, M., Ferrante, R., & Hudson, R. 2012, *ApJ*, **748**, 95
- Kobayashi, H., Hidaka, H., Lamberts, T., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **837**, 155
- Koga, Y., Nakanaga, T., Sugawara, K.-i., et al. 1991, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **145**, 315
- Lacy, J., Evans, N. J., Achtermann, J., et al. 1989, *ApJ*, **342**, L43
- Lahuis, F., & van Dishoeck, E. 2000, *A&A*, **355**, 699
- Lamberts, T., Markmeyer, M. N., Kolb, F. J., & Kästner, J. 2019, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, **3**, 958
- Lee, C.-F., Codella, C., Li, Z.-Y., & Liu, S.-Y. 2019, *ApJ*, **876**, 63
- Lefloch, B., Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, L73
- Le Page, V., Snow, T. P., & Bierbaum, V. M. 2003, *ApJ*, **584**, 316
- Linnartz, H., Ioppolo, S., & Fedoseev, G. 2015, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **34**, 205
- Lo, J.-I., Peng, Y.-C., Chou, S.-L., Lu, H.-C., & Cheng, B.-M. 2020, *MNRAS*, **499**, 543
- Loeffler, M., Raut, U., Vidal, R. A., Baragiola, R., & Carlson, R. 2006, *Icarus*, **180**, 265
- Lykke, J. M., Coutens, A., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **597**, A53
- Maity, S., Kaiser, R. I., & Jones, B. M. 2014, *ApJ*, **789**, 36
- Manigand, S., Jørgensen, J., Calcutt, H., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **635**, A48
- McKee, K. W., Blitz, M. A., Cleary, P. A., et al. 2007, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **111**, 4043
- Melosso, M., McGuire, B. A., Tamassia, F., Degli Esposti, C., & Dore, L. 2019, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, **3**, 1189
- Mennella, V., Baratta, G. A., Esposito, A., Ferini, G., & Pendleton, Y. J. 2003, *ApJ*, **587**, 727
- Michael, J., Nava, D., Payne, W., & Stief, L. 1979, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **70**, 5222
- Mikawa, Y., Brasch, J., & Jakobsen, R. 1971, *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Spectrosc.*, **27**, 529
- Miller, J. A., & Klippenstein, S. J. 2004, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 1192
- Miller, J. A., & Melius, C. F. 1989 in Elsevier, 1031–1039
- Milligan, D. E., & Jacox, M. E. 1971, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **54**, 927
- Moore, M., & Hudson, R. 1998, *Icarus*, **135**, 518
- Moore, M., & Hudson, R. 2000, *Icarus*, **145**, 282
- Mulas, G., Baratta, G., Palumbo, M., & Strazzulla, G. 1998, *A&A*, **333**, 1025
- Mumma, M., DiSanti, M., Russo, N. D., et al. 2003, *Adv. Space Res.*, **31**, 2563
- Necula, A., & Scott, L. T. 2000, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **122**, 1548
- Öberg, K. I., Bottinelli, S., Jørgensen, J. K., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2010, *ApJ*, **716**, 825
- Palumbo, M. 2006, *A&A*, **453**, 903
- Pereira, R., de Barros, A., da Costa, C., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, **495**, 40
- Qasim, D., Chuang, K.-J., Fedoseev, G., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **612**, A83
- Rivilla, V. M., Beltrán, M. T., Cesaroni, R., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **598**, A59
- Rodler, M., Blom, C., & Bauder, A. 1984, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **106**, 4029
- Ryazantsev, S. V., Feldman, V. I., & Khriachtchev, L. 2017, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **139**, 9551
- Senosiain, J. P., Klippenstein, S. J., & Miller, J. A. 2005, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **109**, 6045
- Shimanouchi, T. 1972, *Stand. Ref. Data Ser.*, **1**
- Sicilia, D., Ioppolo, S., Vindigni, T., Baratta, G., & Palumbo, M. E. 2012, *A&A*, **543**, A155
- Smith, G. P., Fairchild, P. W., & Crosley, D. R. 1984, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **81**, 2667
- Sonnentrucker, P., González-Alfonso, E., & Neufeld, D. 2007, *ApJ*, **671**, L37
- Strazzulla, G., Baratta, G., & Palumbo, M. 2001, *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.*, **57**, 825
- Taquet, V., López-Sepulcre, A., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **804**, 81
- Taquet, V., Wiström, E. S., & Charnley, S. B. 2016, *ApJ*, **821**, 46
- Taquet, V., Wiström, E., Charnley, S. B., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **607**, A20
- Terwischa van Scheltinga, J., Ligterink, N., Boogert, A., van Dishoeck, E., & Linnartz, H. 2018, *A&A*, **611**, A35
- Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1992, in Tokyo: Univ. Tokyo Press, Vol. 251, Chemistry and Spectroscopy of Interstellar Molecules, ed. N. Kaifu
- Tielens, A. 2013, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **85**, 1021
- Törneng, E., Nielsen, C., Klaeboe, P., Hopf, H., & Priebe, H. 1980, *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Spectrosc.*, **36**, 975
- Turner, B. E., & Apponi, A. J. 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L207
- Turner, B., Terzieva, R., & Herbst, E. 1999, *ApJ*, **518**, 699
- Urso, R., Scirè, C., Baratta, G., Compagnini, G., & Palumbo, M. E. 2016, *A&A*, **594**, A80
- van Gelder, M., Tabone, B., van Dishoeck, E., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **639**, A87
- Ward, M. D., & Price, S. D. 2011, *ApJ*, **741**, 121
- Watanabe, N., & Kouchi, A. 2008, *Progr. Surf. Sci.*, **83**, 439
- West, B., Castillo, S. R., Sit, A., et al. 2018, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **20**, 7195
- Wu, C. R., Judge, D., Cheng, B.-M., et al. 2002, *Icarus*, **156**, 456
- Zasimov, P. V., Ryazantsev, S. V., Tyurin, D. A., & Feldman, V. I. 2020, *MNRAS*, **491**, 5140
- Zellner, R., & Lorenz, K. 1984, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **88**, 984
- Zhen, J., Castellanos, P., Paardekooper, D. M., Linnartz, H., & Tielens, A. G. 2014, *ApJ*, **797**, L30
- Zhou, L., Kaiser, R. I., & Tokunaga, A. T. 2009, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **57**, 830
- Ziegler, J. F., Biersack, J. P., & Ziegler, M. D. 2011, SRIM: <http://www.srim.org>