



Publication Year	2024
Acceptance in OA	2025-04-03T13:32:37Z
Title	Signatures of X-Ray-dominated Chemistry in the Spectra of Exoplanetary Atmospheres
Authors	LOCCI, Daniele, ARESU, Giambattista, PETRALIA, Antonino, MICELA, Giuseppina, MAGGIO, Antonio, CECCHI-PESTELLINI, Cesare
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.3847/PSJ/ad24e4
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/37033
Journal	THE PLANETARY SCIENCE JOURNAL
Volume	5



Signatures of X-Ray-dominated Chemistry in the Spectra of Exoplanetary Atmospheres

Daniele Locci¹, Giambattista Aresu², Antonino Petralia¹, Giuseppina Micela¹, Antonio Maggio¹, and
Cesare Cecchi-Pestellini¹

¹ INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, P.za Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy

² INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Cagliari, Via della Scienza 5, 09047 Selargius, Italy

Received 2023 October 9; revised 2024 January 16; accepted 2024 January 30; published 2024 March 1

Abstract

High-energy radiation from stars impacts planetary atmospheres, deeply affecting their chemistry and providing departures from chemical equilibrium. While the upper atmospheric layers are dominated by ionizations induced by extreme-ultraviolet radiation, deeper into the atmosphere, molecular abundances are controlled by a characteristic X-ray-dominated chemistry, mainly driven by an energetic secondary electron cascade. In this work, we aim at identifying molecular photochemically induced fingerprints in the transmission spectra of a giant planet atmosphere. We have developed a numerical code capable of synthesizing transmission spectra with arbitrary spectral resolution, exploiting updated infrared photoabsorption cross sections. Chemical mixing ratios are computed using a photochemical model tailored to investigate high-energy ionization processes. We find that in the case of high levels of stellar activity, synthetic spectra in both low and high resolutions show significant, potentially observable out-of-equilibrium signatures arising mainly from CO, CH₄, C₂H₂, and HCN.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: [Exoplanet atmospheres \(487\)](#); [Exoplanet atmospheric composition \(2021\)](#); [Star-planet interactions \(2177\)](#)

1. Introduction

The formation and evolution of planets is strictly linked to the evolution of their parent stars. The many processes in star–planet interaction play a critical role in shaping the great diversity of planetary atmospheres in either the solar system or exosystems. While the host star’s optical and infrared radiation is the primary driver of the thermal structure of the lowest atmospheric regions, the high-energy portion of the stellar spectrum, the extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) radiation, and the X-rays emitted mainly by the corona may modify the physical structure of the atmosphere. Radiation in such energy bands may heat the outer layers, leading to a phase of hydrodynamic instability (e.g., Murray-Clay et al. 2009; Owen 2019), or cause a vigorous photochemistry (e.g., García Muñoz 2007; Moses et al. 2011; Locci et al. 2022) providing a route to disequilibrium.

This high-energy portion of the stellar spectrum (also known as X-ray and ultraviolet, XUV, radiation) is variable in time and related to the stellar activity and evolution (e.g., Ribas et al. 2005). Young stars are typically in the saturation phase, during which the X-ray luminosity, L_X , depends only on the stellar mass and age, following the bolometric luminosity in a roughly constant ratio $L_X/L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{-3}$ (Pizzoloto et al. 2003). A star stays in the saturation phase for a period of time that depends on the initial mass and rotation state. F and G stars have larger L_{bol} than M stars and consequently larger X-ray luminosities; lower-mass stars generally remain in the saturation phase for longer times than massive stars, ending up with a larger time-integrated XUV flux (Johnstone et al. 2021). After the saturation phase, the X-ray luminosity follows the fate of the stellar rotation rate that decreases in time because of magnetic braking. This causes the X-ray luminosity to decrease at a pace that may depend on the energy range (e.g., Micela 2002). As a

consequence, planets in close orbits around stars of moderate mass are more subjected to intense hydrodynamic escape, while planets around young and/or massive stars are expected to present an active photochemistry.

Precise measurements of chemical abundances in planetary atmospheres are necessary to constrain the formation histories of exoplanets. High-resolution transmission spectroscopy is a powerful (and successful) technique in detecting molecular features in transiting exoplanetary atmospheres (e.g., Jacobbe et al. 2021). In this work, we derive synthetic absorption spectra of planetary atmospheres of gaseous giants irradiated by a broadband XUV spectrum. Such calculations are performed with a radiative transfer code tailored for modeling the photochemistry of primordial-type atmospheres dominated by hydrogen and helium.

As shown in Locci et al. (2022), the upper layers in these environments ($p \lesssim 10^{-6}$ bars) are dominated by primary ionization provided by EUV radiation and are thus mainly populated by atomic and ionic species (e.g., Chadney et al. 2016). X-rays penetrate deeper in an atmosphere with solar-like abundances (Cecchi-Pestellini et al. 2006, 2009) because of the small absorption cross sections of major atmospheric constituents. The most energetic photons may thus reach pressures as high as $p \sim 10^{-3}$ – 10^{-2} bars. Fast electrons produced in primary ionization events have enough energy to produce further ionizations. In the X-ray energy range, primary electrons give rise to a secondary cascade that dominates the energy deposition throughout the illuminated regions of the atmosphere, with the exception of the uppermost layers. The total ionization rate of the medium is given by the sum of the primary and secondary ionization rates (e.g., Maloney et al. 1996). Unlike X-ray photons, primary electrons are able to efficiently ionize molecular hydrogen, giving rise to a characteristic chemistry rich in molecular ions such as H₃O⁺ and NH₄⁺ (Locci et al. 2022). A further notable consequence of secondary ionizations is the significant increase in the abundances of species like CH₄, C₂H₂, and HCN (Locci



Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](#). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

et al. (2022) that may significantly contribute to atmospheric spectra.

Frequently, atmospheric transit detections are described by wavelength-dependent variations in the apparent planetary radius through a variety of photometric and spectroscopic techniques (e.g., Seager & Deming 2010). Such methods exploit both high- and low-resolution data or their combination.

The advent of the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) and the future launch of the Atmospheric Remote-sensing Infrared Exoplanet Large-survey (ARIEL) mission (Tinetti et al. 2018, 2021) is providing a wealth of data to characterize planetary atmospheres formed and evolved under different physical and chemical conditions. This requires the production of spectroscopic data and better insight into chemical reaction kinetics, which provide the synthesis of planetary spectra at low and high resolutions. In this work, we show how an accurate modeling of radiation transfer and photochemistry (including ionization processes) allows for the production of high-resolution synthetic spectra, capable of discriminating between equilibrium and out-of-equilibrium chemistries. This way, the high-altitude locations and low pressures where radiation-induced disequilibrium processes take place could be, in principle, determined. In Section 2, we briefly describe the chemical code we use to construct abundance profiles. The cross-section database needed for deriving the transmission spectra is presented in Sections 3 and 4. Results are shown in Section 5 and discussed in Section 6, where we also draw our conclusions.

2. Chemistry

Abundance profiles within the atmosphere are derived using the chemical kinetics code described in Locci et al. (2022) that calculates the gas-phase distribution of about 130 species in a gas of solar-like composition comprising hydrogen, helium, oxygen, carbon, and nitrogen. The model solves the continuity equation for 1D stratified spherical atmospheres and accurately describes the energy deposition of ionizing radiation, as reported in Locci et al. (2018). The secondary electron cascade induced by primary photoionization is computed by exploiting the Monte Carlo technique described in Cecchi-Pestellini et al. (2006). A key parameter in the model is the mean energy per ion pair, i.e., the ratio of the primary photoelectron energy to the number of ionizations provided before the primary electron comes to rest (Dalgarno et al. 1999).

In photochemical models, the incident stellar spectrum is (by definition) a critical factor. In our model, we exploit a mosaic from several sources. The ultraviolet spectral band is taken from the library PHOENIX (Husser et al. 2013), to which we add a Ly α profile; XUV radiation encompass the range between the ionization potential of atomic hydrogen (13.6 eV) and 10 keV; the EUV portion, extending up to 100 eV, assumes a constant value, with an integrated luminosity L_{EUV} ; and finally, the X-ray spectrum is described by the emission of an optically thin plasma (Raymond & Smith 1977) with an integrated luminosity L_X . The total luminosities of the Ly α line and L_{EUV} are scaled from the X-ray luminosity by means of the prescriptions given in Linsky et al. (2020) and Sanz-Forcada et al. (2011), respectively. We note that different X-ray-to-EUV scaling relations have recently been put forward (e.g., Chadney et al. 2015; Johnstone et al. 2021) that may modify the luminosity of the EUV component, typically providing EUV luminosities lower than the one provided by the Sanz-Forcada

Table 1
List of Molecules for Which the Spectra Were Calculated

Molecule	Lines	Retained (%)	Intensity Cutoff
H ₂ O	5.7×10^9	~ 5	10^{-30}
CO	1.2×10^5	100	...
CO ₂	2.6×10^9	~ 4	10^{-30}
NH ₃	1.7×10^{10}	~ 38	10^{-30}
HCN	3.4×10^7	100	...
C ₂ H ₂	4.3×10^9	~ 86	10^{-30}
CH ₄	4.3×10^9	~ 74	10^{-28}
H ₂	4.7×10^3	100	...

Note. The percentage of lines retained for the actual calculation depends on the line intensity cutoff criteria discussed in Section 3.

et al. (2011) relation. However, the effects of EUV are important mainly in the outermost layers, and furthermore, EUV photons interact preferentially with hydrogen and helium. As a consequence, the impact on chemistry is confined only to very low pressures, while the layers probed by infrared spectroscopy are virtually unaffected.

3. Building the Spectral Database

We build high-resolution spectra ($R \sim 100,000$) for the species listed in Table 1. For all the species, we used the Exomol Database (see Tennyson et al. 2020 and references therein); we downloaded the .states and .trans (transitions) files and generated the stick spectra, which constitute the input files for the spectral code.

The Exomol transitions files contain many molecular transitions and are generally of considerable size, reaching billions of lines for molecules such as H₂O. To optimize line-by-line computation, it is desirable to cut off weaker lines when building the stick spectra from scratch. This is done following Rothman et al. (2013), who used the following criteria for the intensity cutoff:

$$I_{\text{lim}} = S_{\text{cr}} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\text{cr}}} \right) \tanh \left(\frac{h\nu}{2k_B T} \right) \quad \nu \leq \nu_{\text{cr}}$$

$$I_{\text{lim}} = S_{\text{cr}} \quad \nu > \nu_{\text{cr}},$$

where S_{cr} is the critical line intensity, ν_{cr} is the critical wave-number, and T is the temperature at which the calculation is done. We adopt $S_{\text{cr}} = 10^{-30}$ cm molecule⁻¹ and $\nu_{\text{cr}} = 2000$ cm⁻¹ for all the molecules used here apart from CH₄. Given the very high number of lines in the wavelength range where the resolution is the highest, for methane, we chose $S_{\text{cr}} = 10^{-28}$ cm molecule⁻¹. The critical frequency cutoff ensures that more transitions are allowed in a low-frequency spectral region where the lines are less dense. We may evaluate these criteria at arbitrary (relevant) temperatures and keep all the transitions that satisfy the cutoff at least once. In this paper, we include spectra calculated at 1000 K, i.e., the assumed temperature of the atmosphere (see Section 5). In the HITRAN database, the intensity cutoff is generally set to 1×10^{-29} cm molecule⁻¹ (e.g., Yurchenko et al. 2018 and references therein). We select an intensity cutoff a factor of 10 lower. Even this choice does not provide more than a small percent of the H₂O and CO lines available in the database. However, most of the unselected transitions have very low

intrinsic intensity, and their addition would produce very small (if any) effects on the final spectra. This is the criterion we also exploit for methane. Simulations suggest that an intensity cutoff of 1×10^{-28} cm molecule $^{-1}$ would not produce a substantial effect on the reference spectra. This occurs in particular for those bands that emerge over the water spectrum, being formed by the strongest lines in the database. The increase of the cutoff intensity up to 1×10^{-30} cm molecule $^{-1}$ does not provide changes in the quality of the CH₄ spectra presented in this study.

We validated our spectral code against EXOCROSS (Yurchenko et al. 2018) for the H₂O spectra between 1000 and 5000 cm $^{-1}$; the relative difference between the two spectra is of the order of 0.2% on average, always contained well within 0.5%. In the fourth column of Table 1, we indicate the fraction of lines retained compared to the complete Exomol database after applying the line cutoff described above.

The line shape is a Voigt profile, the calculation of which is extended for each line out to 25 cm $^{-1}$ from the line center (Yurchenko et al. 2018). The stick spectra are used by the spectral code to build the absorption spectra, making use of the Exomol *.broad* files (to account for the collisional broadening with H₂, He, or the species itself) and *.shift* files (to account for the pressure shift).

4. Building the Transmission Spectra

We calculate the transmission spectra assuming a 2D geometry, in which one of the axes is directed along the line joining the planet center to the substellar point (defining the horizontal direction). The values of altitude (h), pressure (p), mixing ratio (w), and number density (n) are assigned to any grid point located at least at one planetary radius R_p from the center. At the planetary radius, we set $h = 0$ and the maximum pressure $p_0 = 1$ bar. The pressure is assumed to decrease upward. When $h < 0$, we consider the stellar radiation to be fully absorbed by the atmosphere. For each horizontal line of sight of the vertical coordinate $h = h_k$, we calculate the optical depth as follows:

$$\tau(\lambda, h_k) = 2 \sum_i \int_0^{x_f(h_k)} \sigma_i(\lambda) n_i(x, h_k) dx, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma(\lambda)$ is the absorption cross section of the i th species (see Section 3 and Table 1), $x_f(h_k)$ is the horizontal coordinate of the last atmospheric point at altitude $h = h_k$, and the factor of 2 accounts for the symmetrical hemisphere. We convolve the contributions of all sight lines calculating the equivalent depth (defined in Hollis et al. 2013) as

$$A(\lambda) = 2 \int_0^{h_f} h [1 - e^{-\tau(\lambda, h)}] dh, \quad (2)$$

where h_f is the maximum altitude of our simulation corresponding to a pressure $p = 10^{-10}$ bars. Given the spherical geometry, such a final value of the pressure is replicated along all the lines of sight at $x = x_f$. Here, the factor of 2 accounts for the southern hemisphere. The total transit depth is given by

$$D(\lambda) = \frac{R_p^2 + A(\lambda)}{R_\star^2}, \quad (3)$$

$R_\star$ being the stellar radius. In order to simulate the instrumental response, we apply to the transit depth a Gaussian filter to




Figure 1. Transmission spectra computed by varying the value of the X-ray luminosity: $L_X = 10^{26}$ (green line), 10^{28} (red line), and 10^{30} erg s $^{-1}$ (blue line) with $T_X = 0.3, 0.5$, and 1 keV, respectively.

Table 2
Parameters of the Star/Planet System

Parameter	Value
Planet mass, M_p	$150 M_\oplus$
Planet radius, R_p	$12 R_\oplus$
Planet equilibrium	1000 K
Temperature, T_{eq}	
Zenith angle, θ	60°
Stellar X-rays	$10^{26}, 10^{28}, 10^{30}$ erg s $^{-1}$
Luminosity, L_X	

obtain

$$F(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(\lambda') g(\lambda - \lambda') d\lambda', \quad (4)$$

where g is a normalized Gaussian function truncated at 4σ , σ being the FWHM whose value depends on the required resolution. Finally, we calculate the contribution function

$$c(\lambda, p > P) = \frac{A[\lambda, \tau(\lambda, p > P) = 0]}{A(\lambda)}, \quad (5)$$

where $A[\lambda, \tau(\lambda, p > P) = 0]$ is the equivalent atmospheric depth obtained by setting to 0 the optical thickness of those layers for which the pressure is larger than an assigned boundary value P . Finally, the integrated contribution function reads as

$$q(p > P) = \int_{\Delta\lambda} c(\lambda, p > P) d\lambda, \quad (6)$$

with $\Delta\lambda$ the wavelength range in which the integration is performed.

5. Results

Using the photochemical code described in Locci et al. (2022) and the prescriptions given in previous sections, we synthesize a spectral distribution for each specific model representation. Our aim is to extract XUV-driven features and evaluate their detectability through either low- or high-resolution spectroscopy. We shall show computed spectra in




Figure 2. Transmission spectra for $L_X = 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (left panel) and $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (right panel), in which the contributions arising from individual species are highlighted.

the 1–10 μm range covered by a few instruments on board JWST and the future planetary mission ARIEL and also accessible to ground-based high-resolution telescopes. To this aim, we took into account a synthetic gaseous giant around a solar-like star. Parameters describing the star/planet system are reported in Table 2. The zenith angle adopted in the calculation of the chemical profiles is chosen to be $\theta = 60^\circ$, considered to be a good approximation for the globally averaged profile (Johnstone et al. 2018). Spectra are derived for three different values of the resolving power, $R = 300$, 3000, and 50,000. We also assume three different quiescent X-ray luminosities corresponding to as many stellar characteristics: $L_X = 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, a rather quiet star; $L_X = 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, a star slightly more active than the Sun; and $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, a young active star. X-ray luminosities are characterized by increasing plasma temperatures, namely, $T_X = 0.3$, 0.5 and 1 keV, respectively. For each model, we adopt a uniform temperature throughout the atmosphere, $T = T_{\text{eq}} = 1000 \text{ K}$, that also sets the planet orbital distance.

5.1. Low-resolution Spectra

As described in Locci et al. (2022), the present photochemical model predicts significant enhancements in the abundances of some molecular species following the X-ray-induced chemistry. Chemical effects are not solely productive, as strong X-ray irradiation appreciably lowers the upper boundary of the residing regions of abundant species, such as water and carbon monoxide and dioxide. The response of species sensitive to high-energy irradiation provides modifications of the atmospheric spectra, thus reflecting such nonequilibrium chemistry.

We first examine the case of low-resolution spectra, $R = 300$ (Figure 1), for our three fiducial values of the X-ray luminosity. The resulting spectra indicate that the illuminating stellar radiation has a modest impact for low-to-moderate X-ray luminosities, with the spectra dominated by water features, superposed to strong CH_4 (around 3–4 μm) and CO and CO_2 (4.2–5 μm) signatures. By increasing the luminosity to $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, i.e., enhancing the stellar forcing, intense features appear in a few bands between 3 and 4 μm and beyond 5 μm . Specifically, the feature at around 3.1 μm is due to C_2H_2 and HCN, the feature at ~ 3.2 –3.4 μm is due to CH_4 , the feature

at around 4 μm is due to HCN, and those longward of 5 μm are mainly contributed by C_2H_2 , HCN, CH_4 , and NH_3 , as is evident by examining the contributions from individual species shown in Figure 2.

Results are detailed in Figures 3 and 4, where we show chemical profiles of groups of species reacting positively (CH_4 , C_2H_2 , HCN) and not reacting (H_2O , CO, CO_2) to the increase in L_X . Together with their vertical profiles, we plot the corresponding individual contributions to the total transit depth. We also display the pressure p at which the integrated contribution functions of individual species reach 10% and 90% of their maximum value. It is evident that the major contributions to the spectrum by CH_4 , C_2H_2 , and HCN arise in those atmospheric layers where such species experience the largest abundance variations in response to the stellar forcing. Although depleted by the increase in the X-ray luminosity, water, CO, and CO_2 do not show significant spectral variations.

5.2. High Resolution

In this section, we construct spectra using moderate ($R = 3000$) to high ($R = 50,000$) resolving powers. We show the results in the form of difference spectra (in percent) between those induced by $L_X = 10^{28}$ and $10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $L_X = 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Figure 5). This last value of the XUV luminosity provides chemical effects impacting marginally on molecular abundances.

From the results shown in Figure 5, it is evident that high-resolution spectra probe atmospheric layers at pressures lower than those sampled in the lowest-resolution case, $R = 300$. As an example, variations in the CO and CO_2 profiles clearly arise from atmospheric layers located at pressures lower than $\sim 10^{-4}$ bars not sampled by low-resolution spectra (see below).

The global impact on the spectra is summarized by the plot of the wavelength-dependent contribution functions, $c(\lambda, p > P)$ (Equation (5)), in the low- and high-resolution cases for increasing values of the boundary pressure P as displayed in Figure 6.

The upper atmospheric layers are those where XUV irradiation affects the chemical profiles more deeply, so that suitable spectral features carry the imprinting of photochemical processes over the molecular abundances. For instance, a band around 4.5 μm attributable to CO and CO_2 features




Figure 3. Chemical vertical profiles for the species CH_4 , C_2H_2 , and HCN positively reacting to the presence of XUV radiation (left panels) and their individual transmission spectra (right panels). Dashed lines in the left panels indicate the pressure at which the integrated contribution function, Equation (6), of the individual species is $q = 0.1$ and 0.9 for the three values of L_X . Green lines: $L_X = 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; red lines: $L_X = 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; blue lines: $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

shows a decrease with increasing X-ray luminosity. This reflects the removal of these species via ionization (as discussed in Locci et al. 2022) at pressures that are mostly inaccessible to low-resolution observations. In the same spectral range, a positive variation in the transmission depth due to HCN is instead appreciable. This species also displays a strong feature at approximately $1.8 \mu\text{m}$. Incidentally, the feature falls within the spectral range of the near-infrared echelle spectrograph GIANO (Oliva et al. 2006) available at

the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo, and it differs from its low-activity counterpart by approximately 2.5%. In the methane band at $3.3\text{--}4 \mu\text{m}$, the percentage variations sum up to 3%, while in the $3\text{--}3.3$ and $7\text{--}8 \mu\text{m}$ bands due to C_2H_2 and HCN , the changes can reach up to 5% in the case of high activity. Interestingly, spectral modifications arising in the high-resolution case with $L_X = 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ are of similar extent to those produced when $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ but observed in low resolution.




Figure 4. Same as Figure 3 for the negatively reacting species, H_2O , CO , and CO_2 .




Figure 5. Difference spectra in percent between the model with $L_X = 10^{28}$ and $10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (left panel) and the model with $L_X = 10^{30}$ and $10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (right panel), constructed using three values of the resolving power, $R = 300$ (red line), 3000 (green line), and $50,000$ (blue line).




Figure 6. Wavelength-dependent contribution functions using low- (red lines) and high- (blue lines) resolution powers for increasing values of the boundary pressure $P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$, 1×10^{-4} , 1×10^{-3} , and 1×10^{-2} bars (top to bottom) for $L_X = 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (left panel) and $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (right panel). We recall that contribution functions vary from 0 (no signal) to 1 (full contribution).




Figure 7. Wavelength-dependent contribution functions using low, $R = 300$ (left panel), and high, $R = 50,000$ (right panel), resolution powers, displayed for the whole range of pressures (i.e., from $p = 10^{-10}$ to 1 bar). The X-ray intensity is $L_X = 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

In general, high-resolution observations sample the outermost regions with pressures more than a factor of 10 lower than the corresponding observations performed at lower resolution. However, even if high-resolution core lines form at high altitudes, profile wings experience pressures similar to (if not larger than) low-resolution profiles.

6. Discussion and Conclusions

In this work, we investigate if possible disequilibrium processes in exoplanetary atmospheres induced by photochemical activity may be detectable. This study is part of a more general debate on the combined application of spaceborne low-resolution observations and ground-based high-resolution spectroscopy (e.g., Guilluy et al. 2022).

Photochemistry affects carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen species, although the dominant heavy molecular constituents, such as CO , CO_2 , H_2O , and H_2 , are relatively stable against photochemical destruction or recycle efficiently. CH_4 and NH_3 are more interesting from a photochemical perspective, in particular when ionizing radiation is present (Locci et al. 2022), and open the way to the formation of gas-phase hydrocarbons such as acetylene, C_2H_2 , and ethylene, C_2H_4 . These species

have been observed to play an important role in solar system giant planets (e.g., Sinclair et al. 2019). Moreover, polymerization of these initial photochemical products is likely, and more complex hydrocarbons including, e.g., tholins and soots may be expected to form. The present photochemical model predicts an enhancement of CH_4 , C_2H_2 , and HCN as the X-ray luminosity increases over $L_X = 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, with synthetic transmission spectra presenting evident features arising from these species, even in the low-resolution regime. Due to the large opacity difference between the core and the wings of molecular lines, high-resolution spectral synthesis probes a broad range in atmospheric temperatures and pressures, with a predicted overall 3%–4% variation in the spectra (with respect to stellar low activity).

Spaceborne low-resolution spectra (e.g., those achievable with ARIEL) will probe layers different from those observed by ground-based high-resolution spectroscopy, so that their mutual contributions provide the opportunity to relate different regimes in a planetary atmosphere, such as clouds in the troposphere and line cores in the upper thermosphere (e.g., Pino et al. 2018). By their very nature, molecules do not form and survive at extreme altitudes, residing at most at pressures around $p \sim 10^{-7}$ – 10^{-8} bars. High-resolution observations




Figure 8. Histogram of the number of lines arising from single pressure layers. Top panel: $L_X = 10^{26}$ erg s $^{-1}$; bottom panel: $L_X = 10^{30}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Green line: low resolution; red lines: high resolution.

possess a dynamic range that seems able to constrain vertical variations in gas abundances in those regions.

In Figure 7, we compare low- ($R=300$) and high- ($R=50,000$) resolution contribution functions throughout the vertical pressure profile. In both cases, the atmospheric “bottom” for detections is located at $p \sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$ bars (see also Figure 6). The capability of high-resolution observations in sampling lower pressures than those experienced in low resolution is evidenced by the significant population of the green region ($c \sim 0.2$ – 0.4) by the highest (blue; $c \gtrsim 0.6$) signals.

We may quantify the extra information contained in a high-resolution spectral profile by listing, for each pressure layer, the number, wavelengths, and species of the transitions that contribute to the profile. This way, we may construct histograms like those reported in Figure 8. In the high-resolution case, a straightforward visual inspection reveals the existence of a tail of contributing lines (with $c \gtrsim 0.9$) arising from pressures $p \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ bars. With the exception of a small contribution in the high-activity case, low pressures are virtually excluded in low-resolution profiles. In the high-activity case, the number of contributions from upper atmospheric regions increases, with lines forming up to $p \sim 10^{-5}$ bars. Most of the lines arising from pressures as low as $p \lesssim 10^{-3}$ bars belong to band systems of CO, CH $_4$, C $_2$ H $_2$, and

Table 3
Molecular Lines Sensitive to X-Ray Radiation

	HCN	C $_2$ H $_2$	CH $_4$	CO
1	3.0874 ^a	3.0528	3.1493	4.8336
2	3.0935	3.1171	3.2025	4.5732
3	2.9685	3.0288	3.2024	4.5132
4	3.0203	3.0205	3.1753	4.5456
5	7.5396	7.3136	3.2308	4.5389
6	7.0158	3.1098	3.2405	4.7736
7	3.0689	3.0090	3.1933	4.7359
8	3.1189	3.0264	3.1842	4.8337
9	7.5395	3.0389	3.3245	4.6332
10	7.4897	7.4855	3.1843	4.4664

Note.

^a Wavelengths in microns.

HCN, species particularly sensitive to changes in the X-ray luminosity. A small set of the brightest molecular features is reported in Table 3.

In addition to photochemistry, other kinds of disequilibrium processes are expected to modify abundances within exoplanet atmospheres. Transport-induced quenching modifies chemical equilibrium as a result of the dominance of transport processes like convection or large-scale eddy diffusion over chemical reactions. In our chemical code, we did not include dynamic prescriptions, including zonal winds that tend to uniform the chemistry longitudinally to the dayside of the planet (e.g., Agúndez et al. 2014). Moreover, stars are variable in time, and they may be subjected to flares and other impulsive phenomena that can display sudden, drastic increases in brightness for a few minutes to a few hours. Such high activity may increase photochemical and ionization rates, which may impact atmospheric chemistry. Our aim is to assess the importance of photochemistry and the detectability of its effects imprinted in either low- or high-resolution transmission spectra. Through an accurate chemical model, we find that chemical profiles present trends in response to the interaction of the atmospheric gas with XUV radiation that translate into evident spectral signatures, recognizable markers of the departure from equilibrium. The next step in our analysis will be to estimate the fate of these disequilibrium clues, i.e., if they will be preserved, destroyed, or modified by coexisting and competitive kinetics-related processes.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge contributions from ASI-INAF agreements 2021-5-HH.0 and 2018-16-HH.0. A.M. acknowledges partial support from PRIN INAF 2019 (HOT-ATMOS). D.L. acknowledges contributions from Bando di Ricerca Fondamentale INAF—MINI-GRANTS di RSN 2 and STILES (Strengthening the Italian leadership in ELT and SKA), Attività di progetto—IR 0000034, CUP C33C22000640006.

ORCID iDs

Daniele Locci <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9824-2336>
 Giambattista Aresu <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3683-0834>
 Antonino Petralia <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9882-1020>
 Giuseppina Micela <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9900-4751>
 Antonio Maggio <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5154-6108>
 Cesare Cecchi-Pestellini <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7480-0324>

References

- Agúndez, M., Parmentier, V., Venot, O., Hersant, F., & Selsis, F. 2014, [A&A](#), **564**, [A73](#)
- Cecchi-Pestellini, C., Ciaravella, A., Micela, G., & Penz, T. 2009, [A&A](#), **496**, [863](#)
- Cecchi-Pestellini, C., Ciaravella, A., & Micela, G. 2006, [A&A](#), **458**, [L13](#)
- Chadney, J. M., Galand, M., Koskinen, T. T., et al. 2016, [A&A](#), **587**, [A87](#)
- Chadney, J. M., Galand, M., Unruh, Y. C., Koskinen, T. T., & Sanz-Forcada, J. 2015, [Icar](#), **250**, [357](#)
- Dalgarno, A., Yan, M., & Liu, W. 1999, [ApJS](#), **125**, [237](#)
- García Muñoz, A. 2007, [P&SS](#), **55**, [1426](#)
- Giacobbe, P., Brogi, M., Gandhi, S., et al. 2021, [Natur](#), **592**, [205](#)
- Guilluy, G., Giacobbe, P., Carleo, I., et al. 2022, [A&A](#), **665**, [A104](#)
- Hollis, M. D. J., Tessenyi, M., & Tinetti, G. 2013, [CoPhC](#), **184**, [2351](#)
- Husser, T. O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, [A&A](#), **553**, [A6](#)
- Johnstone, C. P., Bartel, M., & Güdel, M. 2021, [A&A](#), **649**, [A96](#)
- Johnstone, C. P., Güdel, M., Lammer, H., & Kislyakova, K. G. 2018, [A&A](#), **617**, [A107](#)
- Linsky, J. L., Wood, B. E., Youngblood, A., et al. 2020, [ApJ](#), **902**, [3](#)
- Locci, D., Cecchi-Pestellini, C., Micela, G., Ciaravella, A., & Aresu, G. 2018, [MNRAS](#), **473**, [447](#)
- Locci, D., Petralia, A., Micela, G., et al. 2022, [PSJ](#), **3**, [1](#)
- Maloney, P. R., Hollenbach, D. J., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1996, [ApJ](#), **466**, [561](#)
- Micela, G. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 269, The Evolving Sun and its Influence on Planetary Environments, ed. B. Montesinos, A. Gimenez, & E. F. Guinan (San Francisco, CA: ASP), [107](#)
- Moses, J. I., Visscher, C., Fortney, J. J., et al. 2011, [ApJ](#), **737**, [15](#)
- Murray-Clay, R. A., Chiang, E. I., & Murray, N. 2009, [ApJ](#), **693**, [23](#)
- Oliva, E., Origlia, L., Baffa, C., et al. 2006, [Proc. SPIE](#), **6269**, [626919](#)
- Owen, J. E. 2019, [AREPS](#), **47**, [67](#)
- Pino, L., Ehrenreich, D., Wyttenbach, A., et al. 2018, [A&A](#), **612**, [A53](#)
- Pizzolato, N., Maggio, A., Micela, G., Sciortino, S., & Ventura, P. 2003, [A&A](#), **397**, [147](#)
- Raymond, J. C., & Smith, B. W. 1977, [ApJS](#), **35**, [419](#)
- Ribas, I., Guinan, E. F., Güdel, M., & Audard, M. 2005, [ApJ](#), **622**, [680](#)
- Rothman, L., Gordon, I., Babikov, Y., et al. 2013, [JQSRT](#), **130**, [4](#)
- Sanz-Forcada, J., Micela, G., Ribas, I., et al. 2011, [A&A](#), **532**, [A6](#)
- Seager, S., & Deming, D. 2010, [ARA&A](#), **48**, [631](#)
- Sinclair, J. A., Moses, J. I., Hue, V., et al. 2019, [Icar](#), **328**, [176](#)
- Tennyson, J., Yurchenko, S. N., Al-Refaie, A. F., et al. 2020, [JQSRT](#), **255**, [107228](#)
- Tinetti, G., Drossart, P., Eccleston, P., et al. 2018, [ExA](#), **46**, [135](#)
- Tinetti, G., Eccleston, P., Haswell, C., et al. 2021, [arXiv:2104.04824](#)
- Yurchenko, S. N., Al-Refaie, A. F., & Tennyson, J. 2018, [A&A](#), **614**, [A131](#)