



Publication Year	2019
Acceptance in OA	2020-12-28T16:20:00Z
Title	Jet-driven Galaxy-scale Gas Outflows in the Hyperluminous Quasar 3C 273
Authors	Husemann, Bernd, Bennert, Vardha N., Jahnke, Knud, Davis, Timothy A., Woo, Jong-Hak, Scharwächter, Julia, Schulze, Andreas, GASPARI, MASSIMO, Zwaan, Martin A.
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.3847/1538-4357/ab24bc
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/29243
Journal	THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL
Volume	879



Jet-driven Galaxy-scale Gas Outflows in the Hyperluminous Quasar 3C 273

Bernd Husemann¹, Vardha N. Bennert², Knud Jahnke¹, Timothy A. Davis³, Jong-Hak Woo⁴, Julia Scharwächter⁵,
Andreas Schulze⁶, Massimo Gaspari^{7,9}, and Martin A. Zwaan⁸

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany; husemann@mpia.de

² Physics Department, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407, USA

³ School of Physics & Astronomy, Cardiff University, Queens Buildings, The Parade, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, UK

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea

⁵ Gemini Observatory, Northern Operations Center, 670 N. A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA

⁶ National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

⁷ Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544-1001, USA

⁸ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching b. München, Germany

Received 2018 September 27; revised 2019 May 22; accepted 2019 May 23; published 2019 July 8

Abstract

We present an unprecedented view of the morphology and kinematics of the extended narrow-line region (ENLR) and molecular gas around the prototypical hyperluminous quasar 3C 273 ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ at $z = 0.158$) based on VLT-MUSE optical 3D spectroscopy and ALMA observations. We find the following: (1) the ENLR size of $12.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ kpc}$ implies a smooth continuation of the size–luminosity relation out to large radii or a much larger break radius as previously proposed. (2) The kinematically disturbed ionized gas with line splits reaching 1000 km s^{-1} out to $6.1 \pm 1.5 \text{ kpc}$ is aligned along the jet axis. (3) The extreme line broadening on kiloparsec scales is caused by the spatial and spectral blending of many distinct gas clouds separated on subarcsecond scales by different line-of-sight (LOS) velocities. The ENLR velocity field combined with the known jet orientation rules out a simple scenario of a radiatively driven radial expansion of the outflow. Instead, we propose that a pressurized expanding hot gas cocoon created by the radio jet is impacting on an inclined gas disk, leading to transverse and/or backflow motion with respect to our LOS. The molecular gas morphology may be explained by either a density wave at the front of the outflow expanding along the jet direction as predicted by the positive feedback scenario or the cold gas may be trapped in a stellar overdensity caused by a recent merger event. Using 3C 273 as a template for observations of high-redshift hyperluminous quasars reveals that large-scale ENLRs and kiloparsec-scale outflows may often be missed, due to the brightness of the nuclei and the limited sensitivity of current near-IR instrumentation.

Key words: ISM: jets and outflows – quasars: emission lines – quasars: individual: (3C 273) – techniques: imaging spectroscopy

1. Introduction

The quasi-stellar radio source (quasar) 3C 273 was found to have a redshift of $z \sim 0.158$ (Schmidt 1963), which revealed that quasars are of extragalactic origin and cannot be stars. 3C 273 is one of the most luminous quasars at low redshift, with an absolute brightness of $M_V = -26.8 \text{ mag}$ (e.g., Hamilton et al. 2008) and a radio flux of $f_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \sim 45 \text{ Jy}$ (Kellermann et al. 1969) corresponding to $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} = 2.9 \times 10^{27} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. 3C 273 is therefore a prototypical active galactic nucleus (AGN) as only accretion by a supermassive black hole (SMBH) can produce the observed energy (Salpeter 1964).

The enormous energy released by a luminous AGN like 3C 273 is suspected to significantly affect the evolution of their host galaxies through either radiative AGN feedback (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998) or mechanical feedback via their jets (e.g., Pedlar et al. 1990). While the impact of jets on the hot halo gas around massive galaxies has already been confirmed (see Fabian 2012 for a review), the capability of AGN radiation to accelerate and expel a large gas reservoir from AGN host galaxies is currently under intense investigation.

Large reservoirs of ionized gas on scales of tens to hundreds of kiloparsecs have been detected around many luminous radio-

loud and radio-quiet AGNs at low redshifts (Stockton & MacKenty 1983, 1987; Danziger et al. 1984; van Breugel et al. 1985; Prieto et al. 1993; Shopbell et al. 1999; Tadhunter et al. 2000; Stockton et al. 2002; Villar-Martín et al. 2005, 2010, 2017, 2018; Husemann et al. 2010, 2011; Greene et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2013a, 2014; Hainline et al. 2014) as well as at high redshifts (e.g., Heckman et al. 1991; McCarthy 1993; Christensen et al. 2006; North et al. 2012; Cantalupo et al. 2014; Hennawi et al. 2015; Borisova et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2017; Arrigoni Battaia et al. 2018; Husemann et al. 2018). These are often referred to as extended emission-line regions (EELRs) or extended narrow-line regions (ENLRs) in the literature, without a clear distinction between the two. However, the largest ionized nebulae have predominantly been associated with radio-loud AGNs hosting large-scale jets. The size and morphology of EELRs are therefore often interpreted as being redistributed material, due to shock fronts created by the expanding radio jet (e.g., Tadhunter et al. 2000; Tremblay et al. 2018), or a signature of tidal debris from galaxy interactions (e.g., Villar-Martín et al. 2010, 2018). In this paper, we use the term EELR for ionized gas on 10 to several 100 kpc scales independent of the ionization mechanism and the term ENLR only for clearly AGN-ionized gas out to several tens of kiloparsecs around luminous AGNs.

Independent of the size of the ionized nebulae, the prevalence of kiloparsec-scale outflows around AGNs remains

⁹ Lyman Spitzer Jr. fellow.

a key question to understand AGN feedback and its impact on host galaxies. Spectroscopic observations have therefore been crucial for mapping fast AGN-driven outflow from low-luminosity (e.g., Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1992; Crenshaw & Kraemer 2000; Riffel & Storchi-Bergmann 2011; Fischer et al. 2013; Venturi et al. 2018) to high-luminosity AGNs (e.g., Greene et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013b; Harrison et al. 2014; Carniani et al. 2015; McElroy et al. 2015; Kakkad et al. 2016; Karouzos et al. 2016) and AGNs with powerful radio jets leading to jet–cloud interactions (e.g., Tadhunter et al. 1989; Emonts et al. 2005; Holt et al. 2008; Morganti et al. 2013; Mahony et al. 2016; Nesvadba et al. 2017; Villar-Martín et al. 2017; Santoro et al. 2018; Jarvis et al. 2019). However, the relative role of jets and AGN radiation as the main driver for fast outflows and their diverse properties among the overall AGN population still needs to be investigated.

3C 273 represents an ideal laboratory to study the relative impact on the radiation and the jet with the surrounding medium. While 3C 273’s radio jet has been studied in detail over the past 50 yr, observations of its host galaxy remain difficult, due to the overwhelmingly bright nucleus. The host galaxy was first detected from the ground by Wyckoff et al. (1980) and followed up with *Hubble* at high-angular resolution (Bahcall et al. 1995; Martel et al. 2003). Wyckoff et al. (1980) and Boroson et al. (1985) already noted evidence of extended emission lines a few arcseconds away from the nucleus, but Stockton & MacKenty (1987) did not detect an EELR in [O III] λ 5007 using narrowband imaging. Hippelein et al. (1996) presented the first map of the ENLR from Fabry–Perot imaging.

In this article, we present a combined study of deep optical integral-field unit (IFU) observations of 3C 273 with the Multi-Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2010) and CO(1–0) mapping with archival ALMA observations. The MUSE data reveal highly complex ionized gas motions in the host galaxy of 3C 273, and the ALMA observations highlight substantial amounts of molecular gas. We discuss our observations in light of an expanding hot gas cocoon inflated by the radio jet which creates a fast outflow and shock front in the ISM. Furthermore, we explore the detectability of similar ENLRs around hyperluminous ($L_{\text{bol}} > 10^{47}$ erg s $^{-1}$) AGNs at high redshift as studied, for example, by the WISSH project (Bischetti et al. 2017). Throughout this paper, we assume a concordance flat Λ CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ corresponding to 2.73 kpc/arcsec at the redshift of 3C 273.

2. Observations and Analysis

2.1. MUSE Observations

MUSE data of 3C 273 were obtained under ESO program 097.B-0080(A) on 2016 March 31 under gray moon, clear sky conditions and a seeing of 0".7. The data cover a $1' \times 1'$ field-of-view (FoV) at 0".2 sampling and a wavelength range of 4750–9300 Å at a spectral resolution of $R \sim 2500$. Given the brightness of 3C 273 ($m_V = 12.6$ mag), we split the observations into 18×250 s exposures with a total integration time of 4500 s. Small subarcsecond dithering and standard 90° rotations between exposures were applied to mitigate residuals of the flat-fielding. The data were fully reduced and calibrated using the standard ESO pipeline (Weilbacher et al. 2014).

The reconstructed *gri* MUSE color image is shown in Figure 1 (panel a). The bright AGN point source and the optical jet of 3C 273 are both clearly visible. An important processing step is therefore the deblending of the unresolved AGN emission from the spatially resolved emission of the host galaxy. We use the software QDEBLEND^{3D} (Husemann et al. 2013, 2014) to estimate the point-spread function (PSF) from the 2D intensity distribution of the H δ , H β , He I, and H α broad-line wings originating from the unresolved AGN broad-line region. Afterwards, we interpolate the wavelength-dependent PSF with a second-order polynomial on a spaxel-by-spaxel basis. The AGN spectrum from the central spaxels is then convolved with the PSF and subtracted from the original data. Because the H α line is saturated at the peak of the PSF, we cannot use the central spaxel for the wavelength range around H α . Hence, we instead use a region northeast of the AGN, which shows a deficit in extended emission to create a “pure” AGN spectrum around H α , which we convolve again with the corresponding PSF and subtract it from the original data. Given the brightness of the AGN, we still obtain a very high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectrum of the AGN a few arcseconds away from the center, which does not leave strong residuals after subtraction. Nevertheless, the spaxels with $<0".8$ around the AGN position remain corrupted in H α due to the saturation and need to be ignored completely.

As shown in Figure 1, we clearly recover spatially extended [O III] emission with complex kinematics even at an AGN–host galaxy contrast ratio of about 200 in the continuum. In addition, we also recover the stellar continuum of the host galaxy at high S/N within an annulus of 0".8–6" circular radii (Figure 2, top panel). We modeled the stellar continuum by fitting stellar templates from the INDO U.S. library (Valdes et al. 2004) using the PYPARADISE software (Walcher et al. 2015; Weaver et al. 2018). From the stellar continuum fit, we infer an accurate systemic redshift of $z = 0.15850 \pm 0.00005$ and a stellar velocity dispersion within this larger aperture of $\sigma_* = 210 \pm 10$ km s $^{-1}$. The integrated spectrum reveals line ratios of [O III] λ 5007/H β = 3.9 ± 0.1 , [N II] λ 6583/H α = 0.64 ± 0.04 , and He II λ 4685/H β = 0.11 ± 0.01 , where He II λ 4685 is detected with 10σ confidence. We show the corresponding emission-line diagnostic diagrams in Figure 2 together with classical demarcation lines, which clearly show that star formation is not the dominant ionization mechanism of the ionized gas. Due to the complex kinematics and heavy blending of the [N II] and H α lines, we cannot robustly map this line ratio across the nebula. However, the [O III] λ 5007/H β line ratio map can be constructed (Figure 1, panel c) and reveals that the line ratio is even higher in most parts, confirming that AGN and/or shock ionization is the main ionization mechanism across the nebula.

2.2. ALMA Observations

ALMA uses 3C 273 as a calibrator so that a large number of short observations of this source are available. We retrieved all data available for 3C 273 covering the redshifted frequency of $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ with a spatial resolution between 0".5 and 1".0. This included eight different tracks from five different ALMA projects, all of which observed 3C 273 for ≈ 5 minutes in order to use it as a bandpass calibrator. In all but one case a 2 GHz correlator window (with a raw spectral resolution of 15.625 MHz) was present over the redshifted frequency of CO(1–0). In one case, a 1850 MHz correlator window was




Figure 1. Overview of the ionized and molecular gas properties in 3C 273 from MUSE and ALMA observation. (a) Reconstructed *gri* RGB-color image from the MUSE observation covering a $1' \times 1'$ FoV. (b) [O III] $\lambda 5007$ narrowband image after PSF and continuum subtraction in the zoom-in region. The white contours refer to surface brightness limits of $1 \times$ and $3 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2} (1+z)^{-4}$. (c) [O III]/H β emission-line ratio map with the CO(1–0) distribution shown as contours. (d) CO(1–0) flux map as recovered from the ALMA observations. (e) CO(1–0) cold gas velocity field from the first-moment maps. The dotted-dashed line divides the kinematic map into receding and approaching sides with zero velocity going through the QSO center. Green contours show the X-band (10 GHz) radio map at $0''.25$ resolution from Perley & Meisenheimer (2017). Panels (f), (g), (h), and (i) show the median velocity (v_{50}), the peak velocity (v_{peak}), the W_{80} line width, and the FWHM of the [O III] line profile, respectively. The CO(1–0) distribution is shown as black contours in all four panels as reference. Bottom panels: reconstructed long-slit spectra of the [O III] $\lambda 4960$, 5007 lines after PSF and continuum subtraction along the two slit axes as indicated in panel (b).

present, with a raw spectral resolution of 3.906 MHz. The other spectral windows present in each observation were used to detect continuum emission.

The raw ALMA data for each track were calibrated using the standard ALMA pipeline in the Common Astronomy Software Applications (CASA) package. Additional flagging was carried out where necessary to improve the data quality. Three iterations of self-calibration were used to improve the phase and amplitude calibration of the data on the bright continuum in 3C 273. The data presented here were produced using natural weighting, yielding a synthesized beam of $0''.78 \times 0''.75$ at a position angle of 37° (a physical resolution of ≈ 2.1 kpc). We use data with a channel width of 30 km s^{-1} and pixels of $0''.2$ (resulting in approximately 3.5 pixels across the synthesized beam).

Bright point source continuum emission with a flux of $12.9 \pm 0.02 \text{ Jy}$ was detected over the full line-free bandwidth. Based on the position of this point source, we are able to register the relative AGN positions of the MUSE and ALMA data with a precision of at least one pixel size ($0''.2$). We subtracted the continuum emission from the data in the uv plane using the CASA task *uvcontsub*. The continuum-subtracted dirty cubes were cleaned in regions of source emission (identified interactively) to a threshold equal to 1.5 times the rms noise of the dirty channels. The clean

components were then added back and reconvolved using a Gaussian beam of FWHM equal to that of the dirty beam. This produced the final, reduced, and fully calibrated $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ data cube of 3C 273, with an rms noise level of $0.18 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$ in each 30 km s^{-1} channel.

CO(1–0) emission was detected from this source, with an integrated line width of $\approx 490 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (FWHM) and an integrated intensity of $1.82 \pm 0.02 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$. This corresponds to an H_2 mass of $(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^9 M_\odot$, assuming $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 M_\odot (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2)^{-1}$ as typically used for compact luminous systems, such as starburst galaxies, submillimeter galaxies (SMGs) and quasars (e.g., Downes & Solomon 1998; Scoville et al. 2003; Xia et al. 2012). If we were instead to use a Galactic α_{CO} , then the derived H_2 mass in this system would increase by a factor of 5.5.

Zeroth-moment (integrated intensity), first-moment (mean velocity), and second-moment (velocity dispersion) maps of the detected line emission were created using a masked moment technique. A copy of the clean data cube was first Gaussian-smoothed spatially (with an FWHM equal to that of the synthesized beam), and then Hanning-smoothed in velocity. A three-dimensional mask was then defined by selecting all pixels above a fixed flux threshold of 1.5σ , adjusted to recover as much flux as possible in the moment maps while minimizing the noise. The moment maps were then created using the




Figure 2. Aperture spectra for the $H\beta$ (left panels) and $H\alpha$ spectral range (middle panels), which are extracted from a radius of $4''$ after subtracting the point-like nucleus. The central $0''.8$ was excluded due to high continuum residuals after subtracting the bright nucleus (in case of $H\beta$) and saturation of $H\alpha$ in the central spaxels. Top panels: integrated spectrum (black) and best-fit stellar continuum modeling (red). Regions with emission lines excluded from the continuum model are shown as dark shaded areas. Bottom panels: residual spectrum after subtracting the best-fit stellar continuum model (green) and the best-fit model of the emission lines (blue). All emission lines in this wavelength region are annotated. Right panels: emission-line diagnostic diagrams based on the He II, $H\beta$, [O III], $H\alpha$, and [N II] lines measured in the integrated spectra. Corresponding demarcation lines from Kewley et al. (2001), Kauffmann et al. (2003), Stasinska et al. (2008), and Shirazi & Brinchmann (2012). In both diagrams, the measured line ratios fall in the regime of AGN ionization.

unsmoothed cubes within the masked regions only. In Figure 1, we show the zeroth- and first-moment maps in panel (d) and (e), respectively. The morphology of the molecular gas is surprisingly asymmetric and appears as an “arc”-like feature about $\sim 1''.8$ away from the nucleus toward the southwest, almost exactly perpendicular to the jet axis. It seems consistent with the dust lane identified in coronagraphic images presented by Martel et al. (2003), but the significantly lower spatial resolution of the ALMA data does not allow a precise spatial comparison. Importantly, the molecular arc is significantly more extended along the southwest direction on both sides of the spiral continuum excess structure seen in the *Hubble* images. In particular, there is no corresponding feature in *Hubble* images at the location of the brightest molecular gas knot seen $2''$ west of the nucleus. Because the ionized gas morphology is completely different and does not show a similarly prominent structure, it is unclear whether the molecular gas is bound to a stellar overdensity formed in a recent galaxy interaction or is currently forming in situ due to a density wave and enhanced ambient pressure initiated by the expanding outflow.

2.3. Multiphase Gas Kinematics

With MUSE, we recover extended [O III] emission out to ~ 20 kpc from the quasar. The [O III] emission is asymmetric toward the east side as shown in Figure 1, panel (b). The [O III] surface brightness distribution confirms the results of the Fabry–Perot imaging (Hippelein et al. 1996), but MUSE achieves a much higher S/N and covers a larger velocity range. In the bottom panels of Figure 1, we present two pseudo-slit spectra (slit width of $0''.8$) for two position angles, one along the radio jet axis (PA -45° N to E) and one perpendicular to it (PA 45°). In both cases, we see highly complex ionized gas motions, with amplitudes of ± 800 km s $^{-1}$ as well as several broad and multiply peaked lines over this large velocity range in the central 4 kpc.

Figure 3 highlights the complexity of the [O III] line profiles, which are difficult to model with a fixed number of Gaussians. It is highly desired to dissect the [O III] line profile into distinct components, which has been used to study individual physical conditions by correlating line ratios and kinematics (e.g., McElroy et al. 2015). We have tried this process but find that fitting [O III] line profiles separately for each spaxel violates the spatial correlation function imposed by the PSF as shown in Figure 3. The [O III] line shape is significantly changing from spaxel to spaxel, which has a size of $0''.2$, about a factor of 3 smaller than the FWHM of the seeing. While three Gaussians provide a good fit to the overall line profile in all cases, the spatial variation of the Gaussian parameters are unphysical as the PSF demands that individual spectral components only vary in flux on such small scales. A fully consistent solution would only be achieved when the line shapes are modeled directly in 3D, taking the PSF into account as a constraint on the spatial flux distribution. Such a method, which is able to model the entire ENLR structure at once with a large number of components, still needs to be developed. For practical reasons, we instead map nonparametric line-shape parameters such as the median velocity (v_{50}), the peak velocity (v_{peak}), the FWHM, and the width containing 80% of the line flux (W_{80}), which has been previously used to characterize ionized gas outflows in the [O III] line around luminous AGNs (e.g., Liu et al. 2013b; Harrison et al. 2014, 2016; McElroy et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2017). Because the method requires that the cumulative line flux distribution is monotonically increasing, i.e., no negative flux values across the line shape, we still need to generate a noise-free model of the lines for each spaxel. Given the complex line shapes, we use a large spectral library of [O III] doublet lines to model the line profiles as a nonnegative linear superposition, which is not restricting the model to a fixed number of Gaussians. The library is constructed with a velocity range of ± 1200 km s $^{-1}$ at 10 km s $^{-1}$ sampling and four line dispersions (40 km s $^{-1}$, 80 km s $^{-1}$, 160 km s $^{-1}$, 320 km s $^{-1}$),




Figure 3. Zooming in on the complex nature of the [O III] line shape. We show the spectra of four adjacent spaxels in the MUSE cube in the bottom panels. The positions of the four adjacent spectra are marked by the green squares in the associated continuum-subtracted narrowband images (top panels). Those images are 4 Å wide bands with the corresponding wavelength ranges centered on the four dominant line components as highlighted by the dark gray shaded areas. The [O III] spectrum shape changes significantly from spaxel to spaxel with sizes of $0''.2 \times 0''.2$, which is about a factor of 3 smaller than the FWHM of the seeing.

which are convolved with the instrumental resolution as inferred by Bacon et al. (2017) at the [O III] wavelength. During the fitting, we discard components with a peak flux density $S/N < 3$ to avoid fitting noise features. We use a Monte Carlo approach to estimate errors for each parameter by re-fitting the noise-modulated data 50 times. In Figure 1, panels (f), (g), (h), and (i), we show the resulting kinematics maps with a v_{50} velocity error less than 50 km s^{-1} and $S/N > 5$ for W_{80} and FWHM.

The kinematics maps inferred from [O III] as shown in Figure 1 are complex and are therefore best interpreted in conjunction with the molecular gas distribution and kinematics. The molecular gas corresponds to kinematically quiescent gas with a small line dispersion ($\leq 45 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and a smooth velocity gradient from blue- to redshifted motion of $\pm 160 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ around the systemic velocity of the stellar body. The ionized gas shows the same smooth velocity gradient and radial velocity amplitude in v_{50} and v_{peak} of $\pm 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at the location of the molecular gas with a small line width both in W_{80} and FWHM. Furthermore, the ionized gas at even larger distance from the AGN exhibits the same direction of motion as the molecular gas, which suggests that the large-scale kinematics are dominated by gravitationally driven rotational motion. These quiescent motions at radii $> 2''$ define the global rotational pattern with redshifted motion on the east and blueshifted motion on the west side of the galaxy.

On the contrary, the ionized gas kinematics enclosed within the radius of the molecular gas arc is highly complex as demonstrated in Figure 3. Nevertheless, the light-weighted bulk motion of the gas shows a bipolar velocity gradient along the radio jet axis with kinematics predominately redshifted on the approaching jet side and blueshifted on the opposite side. While the FWHM remains narrow with $< 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ across most of the ENLR, it appears larger at the transition to the

rotation-dominated region. This is likely a superposition effect due to the PSF smearing at the transition region. Likewise, the large $W_{80} > 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ width is not caused by individual clouds with high-velocity dispersion but rather the spatial blending of many narrow emission lines with a broad distribution in radial velocities. While this complexity limits our ability to understand the interaction with ambient medium in detail, a key observation is that the radio jet axis is clearly aligned with the bipolar velocity gradient in the ionized gas, indicative of a strong coupling between the expanding radio jet and the ambient gas in 3C 273. Although it is strange that the approaching side of the jet appears to push the gas backwards, we will outline a potential geometrical scenario in Section 3.2 based on the directly inferred relative orientation of the jet and gas disk.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. ENLR Size and Kinematically Disturbed Region

A lot of different definitions have been adopted for the ENLR size, such as an effective radius (e.g., Husemann et al. 2014; Kang & Woo 2018), the maximum detectable size (Bennert et al. 2002; Villar-Martín et al. 2010, 2018; Greene et al. 2012; Storch-Bergmann et al. 2018), or the size until an intrinsic surface brightness limit corrected for cosmological dimming (e.g., Hainline et al. 2013, 2014; Liu et al. 2013a; Sun et al. 2017). By design, all these definitions are prone to different observational effects, and their application is limited to specific science goals. The maximum detectable [O III]-emitting region size is strongly dependent on the depth of observations and whether the ionization mechanisms can be ambiguously attributed to AGN ionization. It is therefore not well suited for studying scaling relations between AGN luminosity and ENLR size. Additionally, the luminosity-




Figure 4. Scaling relation of ENLR size (left panel) and KDR size (right panel) against AGN luminosity. Literature data and proposed scaling relations are available mainly for obscured AGNs and taken from various works for comparison purposes (Fraquelli et al. 2003; Hainline et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2014; Sun et al. 2017; Kang & Woo 2018). If necessary, IR and [O III] luminosities were converted to bolometric luminosities adopting the $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{8\mu\text{m}} \sim 10$ and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{[\text{O III}]} \sim 3200$ of Runnoe et al. (2012) and Pennell et al. (2017), respectively. The blue shaded areas in the left panel correspond to the 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ deviations of the data from Hainline et al. (2014) around the proposed ENLR break radius.

weighted radius depends on whether the dominating NLR is included or excluded from the radius estimations.

Several studies therefore adopted a characteristic ENLR size using a fixed intrinsic [O III] line flux surface brightness limit of $10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2} (1+z)^{-4}$ to allow a comparable ENLR size–luminosity relation to be constructed at various redshifts. For 3C 273, we determine a characteristic ENLR size of $R_{\text{ENLR}} = 12.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ kpc}$, which is robust given the good spatial resolution and the application of the PSF subtraction technique. In Figure 4 (left panel), we compare this ENLR to literature measurements. Previously, a break in the $L_{\text{AGN}}-R_{\text{ENLR}}$ scaling relation has been reported to occur somewhere at $\sim 6\text{--}10 \text{ kpc}$ (e.g., Hainline et al. 2013, 2014; Liu et al. 2013a). In order to mitigate the effect of beam smearing for their high-redshift targets, Hainline et al. (2014) applied a surface brightness profile fitting convolved with an approximate PSF to recover the intrinsic size of the ENLR. Considering that we were able to subtract the dominating AGN point source directly and that we can exclude a top-hat function as a radial ENLR light profile, we compare our inferred ENLR size for 3C 273 with the Sérsic profile measurements from Hainline et al. (2014). We find that the ENLR size of 3C 273 is 2.7σ larger than the proposed break radius and sample distribution reported by Hainline et al. (2014). This suggests that either the ENLR size–luminosity relation has no break radius at all, that the break in the ENLR size occurs at significantly larger radii, or that the ENLR is generically asymmetric at the chosen surface brightness limit so that the sizes are systematically underestimated in randomly oriented long-slit observations. Given that 3C 273 is an unobscured AGN, the intrinsic ENLR size may be even larger compared to the obscured AGN used in previous studies because the unified model predicts that the ionization cone of unobscured AGNs should be more aligned toward the line-of-sight (LOS) than that of obscured AGNs. This would mean that statistically, a large correction factor needs to be applied to turn the measured projected ENLR sizes into intrinsic sizes. We can rule out the ionization cone being directly aligned with our LOS to explain the relatively round morphology, because the kinematic substructure and the known direction of the radio jet implies a significant inclination of the cone. In any case, those inclination effects would only strengthen our result as the

intrinsic size of the ENLR would be even higher for 3C 273. Interestingly, we note that adopting a $3\times$ higher intrinsic [O III] surface brightness limit as proposed by Sun et al. (2018) would imply a size of $R_{\text{ENLR}} = 4.15 \pm 0.1 \text{ kpc}$, which is a factor of 4 smaller than the corresponding $L_{\text{AGN}}-R_{\text{ENLR}}$ scaling relation reported by the authors. Hence, the choice of the surface brightness limit to measure the size has a great impact on the signatures of an ENLR break radius. Additionally, unaccounted systematic effects in the relation of Sun et al. (2018) may contribute to this discrepancy, due to the use of broadband imaging that still requires spectroscopic confirmation.

A break in the size–luminosity relation would necessarily imply a transition from an ionization-bounded to a matter-bounded region, e.g., running out of gas, as the radiation field smoothly decreased as R^{-2} . Hence, a transition may occur when the gas density drops faster than the radiation field at the edge of galaxies (Netzer et al. 2004; Hainline et al. 2013). The stellar body of 3C 273 can be traced out to a radius of $7''$ (19 kpc) with an effective radius of $r_{\text{eff}} = 2''.6$ (Martel et al. 2003). Hence, the ENLR does not reach beyond the host galaxy and is well covered within $3 \times r_{\text{eff}}$ of the stellar distribution. A break in the ENLR size–luminosity relation may not occur at the chosen surface brightness limit simply because of the large size of 3C 273’s host galaxy. Alternatively, Dempsey & Zakamska (2018) proposed that the transition from being ionization- to being matter-bounded occurs because optically thick clouds lead to a steeper decline of the radiation field than R^{-2} , causing a break in the relation at small ENLR sizes. For 3C 273, we find the dense molecular gas to be located inside the characteristic ENLR radius so that dense gas shielding and filtering of AGN radiation may be responsible for the asymmetry of the ENLR, possibly reducing the ENLR brightness along the southwest direction but not toward the east side. Another scenario relevant for 3C 273 is the cooling of gas from the hot halo gas. According to the chaotic cold accretion (CCA) scenario, ionized gas filaments and clouds are condensing out of the hot phase within $\sim 10 \text{ kpc}$ (Gaspari et al. 2018, their Figure 5). Due to CCA, the transition from ionization- to matter-bounded ENLR clouds would be related to the cooling radius where a large portion of gas becomes neutral again to be ionized by the AGN radiation. While a fraction of the condensed gas will be funneled toward the

SMBH, a residual part will settle onto a clumpy rotating structure within the central 10 kpc scale, which is in agreement with our observations.

Overall, none of the processes that have been proposed to reduce the ENLR break radius seems relevant for 3C 273. This is in agreement with our result that 3C 273 is inconsistent with the reported break radius in the ENLR size–luminosity relation. However, very large EELRs have been reported around luminous AGNs at low surface brightness levels (e.g., Villar-Martín et al. 2010; Husemann et al. 2011; Kreimeyer & Veilleux 2013; Villar-Martín et al. 2018), which are an order of magnitude below the intrinsic surface brightness assumed for the characteristic ENLR radius here. Usually, those EELR structures are in a matter-bounded regime far beyond the transition radius (e.g., Fu & Stockton 2007; Kreimeyer & Veilleux 2013; Storchi-Bergmann et al. 2018) and are therefore usually associated with gas density enhancements in the intergalactic medium due to tidal tails, ongoing galaxy interactions, or ram pressure from an expanding radio jet. For 3C 273, we do not detect any [O III] emission beyond 23 kpc at our surface brightness detection limit of $\Sigma_{[\text{O III}]} > 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ at the 3σ level per $0''.2$ pixel. This suggests that 3C 273 has not been subject to a gas-rich merger very recently, and the expanding radio jet has not expelled gas beyond the 20 kpc scale.

The sizes of outflows have been measured by defining a kinematically disturbed region (KDR) either based on a fixed cut in line width, $W_{80} > 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Sun et al. 2017), or in relation to the stellar velocity dispersion, $\sigma_{[\text{O III}]} / \sigma_* > 1$ (Kang & Woo 2018). For 3C 273, we measure $\sigma_* = 210 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is close to the expected value of $\sigma_* \sim 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relation (Kormendy & Ho 2013) adopting $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ (Kaspi et al. 2000), so that both KDR definitions are comparable. Indeed, previous measurements reveal a tight relation between L_{bol} and R_{KDR} as shown in Figure 4. For 3C 273, we measure $R_{\text{KDR}} = 6.1 \pm 1.5 \text{ kpc}$, adopting $W_{80} > 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is significantly smaller than the extrapolated relations proposed by Sun et al. (2017), but close to those by Kang & Woo (2018). Interestingly, the relation by Sun et al. (2017) for R_{KDR} predicts sizes larger than the R_{ENLR} at the high-luminosity end. As discussed in Husemann et al. (2016), it is possible that beam smearing leads to a systematic overprediction of KDR sizes. This effect is minimized for 3C 273, due to the high physical resolution and application of the AGN-host deblending.

3.2. Wind-driven Density Wave Due to Expanding Jet?

Interactions of jets with the ambient medium have been extensively studied in a wide variety of jet properties from compact to extended systems (e.g., Tadhunter et al. 1989, 2000; O’Dea et al. 2002; Holt et al. 2008; Morganti et al. 2013; Mahony et al. 2016; Villar-Martín et al. 2017; Tremblay et al. 2018; Jarvis et al. 2019; Kolwa et al. 2019). In the vast majority of these cases, the most extreme gas motions have been associated with the head of the jets as they push through the ambient gas, leaving turbulent gas behind their path. The impact of those outflows have been proposed to either suppress star formation (negative feedback) or even promote star formation (positive feedback). In the first case, the outflow is assumed to disrupt dense cold gas in the host galaxies and drag it outside the host so that less cold gas is able to form stars (e.g., Nesvadba et al. 2006; Schawinski et al.

2009; Fabian 2012). In the second case, a density wave caused by the expanding shock front may allow molecular gas to rapidly form through enhanced cooling in a compressed dense gas phase. The second process has mainly been theoretically predicted (Silk 2005; Gaibler et al. 2012; Ishibashi & Fabian 2012; Zubovas et al. 2013), but some observational evidence for enhancement in star formation has been observed in the filament of Centaurus A (Crockett et al. 2012), in companion galaxies impacted by jets (e.g., Croft et al. 2006; Molnár et al. 2017), and nearby galaxies with strong outflows (e.g., Cresci et al. 2015; Maiolino et al. 2017).

3C 273 hosts a powerful jet and a bright optical nucleus, so that enough energy is released to provide strong feedback on the ambient gas. Given that there is no ionized gas detected on large scales associated with the head of the jet suggests that the jet axis is not going directly through the gas disk in the host galaxy. Still, there is a clear alignment of the kinematically disturbed ionized gas on kiloparsec scales. Here, we refrain from computing gas outflow energetics to distinguish between a mechanically or radiatively driven outflow, because neither the electron densities nor the actual velocities of individual clouds can be robustly measured with our current data. However, the well-constrained jet axis about $\sim 20^\circ$ away from our LOS (e.g., Stawarz 2004) helps us draw a potential scenario for the evolution of the outflow. The jet axis provides an independent estimate of the 3D orientation of the primary AGN ionization cone axis, which can otherwise be estimated from the ENLR morphology and kinematics (e.g., Fischer et al. 2013).

Surprisingly, we see preferentially receding gas motion on the approaching jet side and approaching gas motions on the opposite side. This is inconsistent with a purely radiatively driven outflow, which should lead to radial gas acceleration if the ionization cone is roughly aligned with the jet axis. However, the expanding cocoon around the radio jet will lead to shocks propagating in all directions and create turbulent and bulk motion in the surrounding interstellar medium as it expands with time. Due to the inclination of the gas disk, the expanding jet-driven hot gas cocoon will accelerate the gas in transverse or even backflow motion. Indeed, the presence of molecular gas with an arc-like structure just outside the KDR of the outflow may be interpreted as the consequence of a gas density wave generated by the expanding shock perpendicular to the jet. While the molecular gas may also be bound to the remnant of an infalling galaxy, we do not see such a well-defined structure in the ionized gas phase. The jet axis breaks the symmetry and goes exactly through the center of the molecular arc as shown in Figure 1, panel (e), which is unlikely to be a pure coincidence. The motion of the cold gas is not yet affected too much by the outflow and predominantly traces the ordered gravitational motion like the kinematically quiescent ionized gas at the same location. Hence, we can estimate the inclination of the undisturbed rotation-dominated gas disk by comparing the dynamical mass with the expected enclosed mass. The stellar mass of 3C 273 is roughly $M_* \sim 4 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$ according to the $M_{\text{BH}}-M_*$ relation of Häring & Rix (2004). This leads to an intrinsic rotational velocity of $v_{\text{rot}} = 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at 8 kpc distance, whereas the observed maximum radial velocity in the ionized and cold gas phase is about $v_{\text{rad}} = 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This implies an inclination of $\sim 20^\circ$ of the gas disk.




Figure 5. Cartoon describing our proposed scenario to explain the unusual gas kinematics of 3C 273. In our interpretation, a rotating gas disk is affected by the emerging jet, due to the associated expanding hot gas cocoon. As the pressurized cocoon is expanding in all directions, it will predominantly push the gas down on the approaching jet and up on the receding jet side with respect to our line of sight. This leads to a transverse shock traveling outwards through the disk, which compresses the gas in the preshock region where enhanced gas density leads to shorter cooling times and the formation of molecular gas. Because the approaching jet is much more prominent, it is unclear if a receding jet has a similar power to create a density wave on the opposite side to form molecular gas.

Our proposed scenario is illustrated as a cartoon in Figure 5. As the jet has expanded close to the speed of light for 10^5 yr in 3C 273 (Stawarz 2004), it has already reached beyond the galaxy and is no longer directly interacting with the gas. However, the continuously expanding cocoon of the jet still affects the gas disk and pushes it in the transverse direction over time. Those transverse and backflow motions from the expanding cocoon have been observed in hydrodynamical simulations of expanding jets in a dense gas medium (Mukherjee et al. 2018). This transverse motion and shock front generates a symmetric density wave in the preshock phase, which allows the formation of a significant reservoir of cold molecular gas through enhanced cooling. While a counter-jet was recently detected in 3C 273 (Punsly & Kharb 2016) and may also explain the disturbed kinematics on the other side of the AGN, it may be intrinsically less powerful and may fail to produce a shock front in which molecular gas can form. Because the ionized emission-line ratios do not show an enhancement of $H\beta$ with respect to $[O III]$ at the location of the cold gas, this suggests that the cold gas is not actively forming stars. However, the α_{CO} factor is unknown and diffuse star formation may not be easily recognized, due to the surrounding AGN-ionized region. All of these measurements highlight the complex interplay between outflows and the multiphase medium, which depends on a lot of parameters.

While the kinematics may also be interpreted as inflow motion, the velocities of the gas clouds of up to $\pm 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are too high to be driven purely by gravity. Nevertheless, the accelerated gas clouds will contribute to the turbulence in the system and likely enhance the inflow of some material toward the nucleus. Such a chaotic inflow of gas may account for the flicker-noise AGN variability, which is predicted by the CCA model (Gaspari et al. 2017) and was discovered in 3C 273 over a 80 yr light-curve monitoring (Press 1978). A more detailed model of the ionized gas distribution and kinematics to overcome the current limitations of the seeing-limited

observations will be performed with future high-resolution observations allowed by the new MUSE narrow-field mode.

3.3. Implications for High- z AGN Observations

It is well known that there is an anticorrelation between the Eddington ratio of an AGN and the strength of the $[O III]$ line in the NLR (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992; Boroson 2002; Marziani et al. 2003). Due to selection effects, high Eddington ratios dominate the luminous AGN population at high redshift, and it has been proposed that the NLR is disappearing in these AGNs (e.g., Netzer et al. 2004). Indeed, the ENLR on kiloparsec scales remain undetected preferentially around high Eddington-ratio AGNs in a sample of luminous AGNs at $z < 0.3$ (Husemann et al. 2008). 3C 273 belongs to the high Eddington-ratio regime with $L_{bol}/L_{Edd} \sim 0.6$ (Husemann et al. 2013) and shows a weak $[O III]$ line in the AGN spectrum. The ENLR was indeed reported to be undetected in initial IFU observations of this source (Husemann et al. 2013). We conclude from this work that the high contrast between the luminous AGN and the ENLR has apparently caused previous nondetections. It is simply more difficult to detect the ENLR underneath a beam-smeared point-like AGN signal and requires much higher S/N observations to detect the $[O III]$ line for a given surface brightness limit. Several AGN surveys at high redshift, such as KASHz (Harrison et al. 2016), WISSH (Bischetti et al. 2017), or SUPER (Circosta et al. 2018), have attempted to detect ionized gas around AGNs. Our MUSE data provide an ideal data set to empirically constrain the distribution, kinematics, and surface brightness of the emission lines around a hyperluminous quasar. It is therefore instructive to simulate observations of 3C 273 at $z = 2.3$ where $[O III]$ is shifted into the H band.

The angular scale at $z = 2.3$ is 8.2 kpc/arcsec , which is nearly a factor of 3 larger compared to the physical resolution at the redshift of 3C 273. In order to observe such a source at the same spatial resolution as provided by the MUSE data for




Figure 6. Signal-to-noise maps of reconstructed [O III] images simulated for SINFONI and *JWST* observations by redshifting the MUSE observations from $z = 0.158$ to $z \sim 2.3$ and subtracting the AGN in a consistent way with QDEBLEND^{3D}. An empirical noise model (without sky lines) from real observations is used to simulate AO-assisted SINFONI-like observations for 1 hr and 9 hr on-source integration. The official ETC was used to obtain the noise model for *JWST*-like observations with the NIRSpec IFU.

3C 273, the angular resolution would need to be three times better than our seeing (i.e., $0''.23$). This matches the typical angular resolutions achieved with adaptive optics observations in the *H* band. Furthermore, the spectral resolution of MUSE is almost the same as the one of the SINFONI *H*-band grating or the high-resolution gratings of NIRSpec aboard the *James Webb Space Telescope*. Hence, we have to scale the integrated flux of the MUSE cube after redshifting the spectra by

$$f = \frac{D_L(z = 0.158)^2 (0.158 + 1)}{D_L(z = 2.3)^2 (2.3 + 1)} = 5.9 \times 10^{-4} \quad (1)$$

and reassigning the pixel scale from $0''.2$ to $0''.066$ or $0''.05$ for practical reasons to avoid subpixel resampling.

We then simulate realistic SINFONI observations at $z = 2.3$ by binning 2×2 pixels in the MUSE cube, scaling the fluxes according to Equation (1) and redshifting the spectra. Empirical noise (without sky lines) is added for SINFONI for 1 hr and 9 hr of on-source time as directly inferred from real observations with a $0''.1$ plate scale and a $3'' \times 3''$ FoV. We also simulate data for the NIRSpec IFU with the same on-source exposure times adopting the noise model of the official ETC (Pontoppidan et al. 2016). In Figure 6, we show the narrowband images after subtracting the QSO contribution with QDEBLEND^{3D} in the same way as for the original MUSE data. Ground-based NIR spectrographs such as SINFONI are hardly able to detect the very brightest inner region of the ENLR even after 9 hr of integration time, which may explain the low detection rate of kiloparsec-scale [O III] outflows around

optically bright AGNs (Vietri et al. 2018). NIRSpec will be able to recover the brightest part of the ENLR, but likely miss the full ENLR extent. High-redshift QSO studies therefore need to be aware that a much higher S/N is needed to detect the diffuse extended outflows underneath highly luminous AGNs when designing observations.

4. Conclusions and Outlook

In this article, we presented the morpho-kinematic structure of the ionized and molecular gas around the low-redshift hyperluminous quasar 3C 273 with VLT-MUSE and ALMA in unprecedented detail. We recover a large ENLR 12.1 ± 0.2 kpc in size, which implies that either the ENLR size–luminosity relation has no break or the break radius is much larger than previously reported. It is possible that CCA plays a relevant role in the formation and properties of the ENLR around 3C 273. Within the ENLR, a KDR is discovered within the central ~ 6 kpc. This KDR is significantly smaller than predicted by literature scaling relations, which are possibly affected by beam smearing. Most strikingly, the KDR is aligned with the radio axis, but preferentially redshifted on the approaching and blueshifted on the receding side of the jet, contrary to expectations. We interpret this as a signature of an expanding jet-driven hot gas cocoon, where the transverse shock is pushing the gas of an inclined gas disk back on the approaching side and up on receding side. This scenario may also explain the presence and morphology of an intriguing

molecular gas arc aligned perpendicular to the jet axis. This feature could be generated by an expanding shock front that leads to a putative density wave where the cooling time is significantly reduced. Whether the molecular gas is forming stars or not is difficult to address with the given MUSE data quality and confusion with the ENLR, so it is unclear whether or not the molecular gas arc is a long-lived feature. Hence, it is unclear if the outflow is leading to positive feedback as predicted for luminous AGNs in some theoretical works and simulations.

We also show that current and upcoming near-IR instruments may be highly limited in recovering the morphokinematic structure of the ionized gas on galaxy scales around hyperluminous quasars at $z > 2$, due to the combined effect of surface brightness dimming and the overwhelmingly bright nucleus. The new narrow-field mode of MUSE providing $0''.1$ resolution at optical wavelengths will allow us to study the ionized gas around 3C 273 and other luminous AGNs in the future, while ALMA can provide details of the molecular gas properties and its excitation conditions. Both facilities together will provide an unprecedented view on the interactions of outflows with the surrounding gas to observationally address the issues raised by positive and negative AGN feedback scenarios.

We thank the referee, Dr. Montserrat Villar-Martin, for a careful review and many suggestions that greatly improved the quality of the manuscript. B.H. acknowledges financial support by the DFG grant GE625/17-1. V.N.B. is grateful to Prof. Dr. Hans-Walter Rix and the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg for the hospitality and financial support during her sabbatical stay. M.G. is supported by the Lyman Spitzer Jr. Fellowship (Princeton University) and by NASA *Chandra* grants GO7-18121X and GO8-19104X. T.A.D. acknowledges support from a Science and Technology Facilities Council Ernest Rutherford Fellowship.

This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.00329.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.00587.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.01012.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2016.1.00972.S, and ADS/JAO.ALMA#2016.1.01308.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA), and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO, and NAOJ.

ORCID iDs

Bernd Husemann  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2901-6842>
 Vardha N. Bennert  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2064-0518>
 Knud Jahnke  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3804-2137>
 Timothy A. Davis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4932-9379>
 Jong-Hak Woo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8055-5465>
 Julia Scharwächter  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1585-9486>
 Andreas Schulze  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6660-6131>
 Massimo Gaspari  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2754-9258>
 Martin A. Zwaan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0101-1804>

References

- Arrigoni Battaia, F., Prochaska, J. X., Hennawi, J. F., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **473**, 3907
- Bacon, R., Accardo, M., Adjali, L., et al. 2010, *Proc. SPIE*, **7735**, 8
- Bacon, R., Conseil, S., Mary, D., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **608**, A1
- Bahcall, J. N., Kirhakos, S., & Schneider, D. P. 1995, *ApJ*, **450**, 486
- Bennert, N., Falcke, H., Schulz, H., Wilson, A. S., & Wills, B. J. 2002, *ApJL*, **574**, L105
- Bischetti, M., Piconcelli, E., Vietri, G., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **598**, A122
- Borisova, E., Cantalupo, S., Lilly, S. J., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **831**, 39
- Boroson, T. A. 2002, *ApJ*, **565**, 78
- Boroson, T. A., & Green, R. F. 1992, *ApJS*, **80**, 109
- Boroson, T. A., Persson, S. E., & Oke, J. B. 1985, *ApJ*, **293**, 120
- Cai, Z., Fan, X., Yang, Y., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **837**, 71
- Cantalupo, S., Arrigoni-Battaia, F., Prochaska, J. X., Hennawi, J. F., & Madau, P. 2014, *Natur*, **506**, 63
- Carniani, S., Marconi, A., Maiolino, R., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **580**, A102
- Christensen, L., Jahnke, K., Wisotzki, L., & Sánchez, S. F. 2006, *A&A*, **459**, 717
- Circosta, C., Mainieri, V., Padovani, P., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **620**, A82
- Crenshaw, D. M., & Kraemer, S. B. 2000, *ApJL*, **532**, L101
- Cresci, G., Marconi, A., Zibetti, S., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **582**, A63
- Crockett, R. M., Shabala, S. S., Kaviraj, S., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **421**, 1603
- Croft, S., van Breugel, W., de Vries, W., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **647**, 1040
- Danziger, I. J., Fosbury, R. A. E., Goss, M. W., Bland, J., & Boksenberg, A. 1984, *MNRAS*, **208**, 589
- Dempsey, R., & Zakamska, N. L. 2018, *MNRAS*, **477**, 4615
- Downes, D., & Solomon, P. M. 1998, *ApJ*, **507**, 615
- Emonts, B. H. C., Morganti, R., Tadhunter, C. N., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, **362**, 931
- Fabian, A. C. 2012, *ARA&A*, **50**, 455
- Fischer, T. C., Crenshaw, D. M., Kraemer, S. B., & Schmitt, H. R. 2013, *ApJS*, **209**, 1
- Fraquelli, H. A., Storchi-Bergmann, T., & Levenson, N. A. 2003, *MNRAS*, **341**, 449
- Fu, H., & Stockton, A. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, 794
- Gaibler, V., Khochfar, S., Krause, M., & Silk, J. 2012, *MNRAS*, **425**, 438
- Gaspari, M., McDonald, M., Hamer, S. L., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **854**, 167
- Gaspari, M., Temi, P., & Brighenti, F. 2017, *MNRAS*, **466**, 677
- Greene, J. E., Zakamska, N. L., Ho, L. C., & Barth, A. J. 2011, *ApJ*, **732**, 9
- Greene, J. E., Zakamska, N. L., & Smith, P. S. 2012, *ApJ*, **746**, 86
- Hainline, K. N., Hickox, R., Greene, J. E., Myers, A. D., & Zakamska, N. L. 2013, *ApJ*, **774**, 145
- Hainline, K. N., Hickox, R. C., Greene, J. E., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **787**, 65
- Hamilton, T. S., Casertano, S., & Turnshek, D. A. 2008, *ApJ*, **678**, 22
- Häring, N., & Rix, H.-W. 2004, *ApJL*, **604**, L89
- Harrison, C. M., Alexander, D. M., Mullaney, J. R., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **456**, 1195
- Harrison, C. M., Alexander, D. M., Mullaney, J. R., & Swinbank, A. M. 2014, *MNRAS*, **441**, 3306
- Heckman, T. M., Miley, G. K., Lehnert, M. D., & van Breugel, W. 1991, *ApJ*, **370**, 78
- Hennawi, J. F., Prochaska, J. X., Cantalupo, S., & Arrigoni-Battaia, F. 2015, *Sci*, **348**, 779
- Hippelein, H., Meisenheimer, K., & Roeser, H. J. 1996, *A&A*, **316**, 29
- Holt, J., Tadhunter, C. N., & Morganti, R. 2008, *MNRAS*, **387**, 639
- Husemann, B., Jahnke, K., Sánchez, S. F., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **443**, 755
- Husemann, B., Sánchez, S. F., Wisotzki, L., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **519**, A115
- Husemann, B., Scharwächter, J., Bennert, V. N., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **594**, A44
- Husemann, B., Wisotzki, L., Jahnke, K., & Sánchez, S. F. 2011, *A&A*, **535**, A72
- Husemann, B., Wisotzki, L., Sánchez, S. F., & Jahnke, K. 2008, *A&A*, **488**, 145
- Husemann, B., Wisotzki, L., Sánchez, S. F., & Jahnke, K. 2013, *A&A*, **549**, A43
- Husemann, B., Worseck, G., Arrigoni Battaia, F., & Shanks, T. 2018, *A&A*, **610**, L7
- Ishibashi, W., & Fabian, A. C. 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, 2998
- Jarvis, M. E., Harrison, C. M., Thomson, A. P., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, **485**, 2710
- Kakkad, D., Mainieri, V., Padovani, P., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **592**, A148
- Kang, D., & Woo, J.-H. 2018, *ApJ*, **864**, 124
- Karouzos, M., Woo, J.-H., & Bae, H.-J. 2016, *ApJ*, **819**, 148
- Kaspi, S., Smith, P. S., Netzer, H., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, 631
- Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., Tremonti, C., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, **346**, 1055
- Kellermann, K. I., Pauliny-Toth, I. I. K., & Williams, P. J. S. 1969, *ApJ*, **157**, 1
- Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., Heisler, C. A., & Trevena, J. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 121
- Kolwa, S., Vernet, J., De Breuck, C., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **625**, A102

- Kormendy, J., & Ho, L. C. 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 511
- Kreimeyer, K., & Veilleux, S. 2013, *ApJL*, **772**, L11
- Liu, G., Zakamska, N. L., & Greene, J. E. 2014, *MNRAS*, **442**, 1303
- Liu, G., Zakamska, N. L., Greene, J. E., Nesvadba, N. P. H., & Liu, X. 2013a, *MNRAS*, **430**, 2327
- Liu, G., Zakamska, N. L., Greene, J. E., Nesvadba, N. P. H., & Liu, X. 2013b, *MNRAS*, **436**, 2576
- Mahony, E. K., Oonk, J. B. R., Morganti, R., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **455**, 2453
- Maiolino, R., Russell, H. R., Fabian, A. C., et al. 2017, *Natur*, **544**, 202
- Martel, A. R., Ford, H. C., Tran, H. D., et al. 2003, *AJ*, **125**, 2964
- Marziani, P., Zamanov, R. K., Sulentic, J. W., & Calvani, M. 2003, *MNRAS*, **345**, 1133
- McCarthy, P. J. 1993, *ARA&A*, **31**, 639
- McElroy, R., Croom, S. M., Pracy, M., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **446**, 2186
- Molnár, D. C., Sargent, M. T., Elbaz, D., Papadopoulos, P. P., & Silk, J. 2017, *MNRAS*, **467**, 586
- Morganti, R., Frieswijk, W., Oonk, R. J. B., Oosterloo, T., & Tadhunter, C. 2013, *A&A*, **552**, L4
- Mukherjee, D., Bicknell, G. V., Wagner, A. Y., Sutherland, R. S., & Silk, J. 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 5544
- Nesvadba, N. P. H., De Breuck, C., Lehnert, M. D., Best, P. N., & Collet, C. 2017, *A&A*, **599**, A123
- Nesvadba, N. P. H., Lehnert, M. D., Eisenhauer, F., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **650**, 693
- Netzer, H., Shemmer, O., Maiolino, R., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **614**, 558
- North, P. L., Courbin, F., Eigenbrod, A., & Chelouche, D. 2012, *A&A*, **542**, A91
- O'Dea, C. P., de Vries, W. H., Koekemoer, A. M., et al. 2002, *AJ*, **123**, 2333
- Pedlar, A., Ghataure, H. S., Davies, R. D., et al. 1990, *MNRAS*, **246**, 477
- Pennell, A., Runnoe, J. C., & Brotherton, M. S. 2017, *MNRAS*, **468**, 1433
- Perley, R. A., & Meisenheimer, K. 2017, *A&A*, **601**, A35
- Pontoppidan, K. M., Pickering, T. E., Laidler, V. G., et al. 2016, *Proc. SPIE*, **9910**, 991016
- Press, W. H. 1978, *ComAp*, **7**, 103
- Prieto, M. A., Walsh, J. R., Fosbury, R. A. E., & di Serego Alighieri, S. 1993, *MNRAS*, **263**, 10
- Punsly, B., & Kharb, P. 2016, *ApJ*, **833**, 57
- Riffel, R. A., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 2011, *MNRAS*, **417**, 2752
- Runnoe, J. C., Brotherton, M. S., & Shang, Z. 2012, *MNRAS*, **426**, 2677
- Salpeter, E. E. 1964, *ApJ*, **140**, 796
- Santoro, F., Rose, M., Morganti, R., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **617**, A139
- Schawinski, K., Virani, S., Simmons, B., et al. 2009, *ApJL*, **692**, L19
- Schmidt, M. 1963, *Natur*, **197**, 1040
- Scoville, N. Z., Frayer, D. T., Schinnerer, E., & Christopher, M. 2003, *ApJL*, **585**, L105
- Shirazi, M., & Brinchmann, J. 2012, *MNRAS*, **421**, 1043
- Shoppell, P. L., Veilleux, S., & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 1999, *ApJL*, **524**, L83
- Silk, J. 2005, *MNRAS*, **364**, 1337
- Silk, J., & Rees, M. J. 1998, *A&A*, **331**, L1
- Stasińska, G., Vale Asari, N., Cid Fernandes, R., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **391**, L29
- Stawarz, L. 2004, *ApJ*, **613**, 119
- Stockton, A., & MacKenty, J. W. 1983, *Natur*, **305**, 678
- Stockton, A., & MacKenty, J. W. 1987, *ApJ*, **316**, 584
- Stockton, A., MacKenty, J. W., Hu, E. M., & Kim, T.-S. 2002, *ApJ*, **572**, 735
- Storchi-Bergmann, T., Dall'Agnol de Oliveira, B., Longo Micchi, L. F., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **868**, 14
- Storchi-Bergmann, T., Wilson, A. S., & Baldwin, J. A. 1992, *ApJ*, **396**, 45
- Sun, A.-L., Greene, J. E., & Zakamska, N. L. 2017, *ApJ*, **835**, 222
- Sun, A.-L., Greene, J. E., Zakamska, N. L., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **480**, 2302
- Tadhunter, C. N., Fosbury, R. A. E., & Quinn, P. J. 1989, *MNRAS*, **240**, 225
- Tadhunter, C. N., Villar-Martin, M., Morganti, R., Bland-Hawthorn, J., & Axon, D. 2000, *MNRAS*, **314**, 849
- Tremblay, G. R., Combes, F., Oonk, J. B. R., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **865**, 13
- Valdes, F., Gupta, R., Rose, J. A., Singh, H. P., & Bell, D. J. 2004, *ApJS*, **152**, 251
- van Breugel, W., Miley, G., Heckman, T., Butcher, H., & Bridle, A. 1985, *ApJ*, **290**, 496
- Venturi, G., Nardini, E., Marconi, A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **619**, A74
- Vietri, G., Piconcelli, E., Bischetti, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **617**, A81
- Villar-Martín, M., Cabrera-Lavers, A., Humphrey, A., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **474**, 2302
- Villar-Martín, M., Emonts, B., Cabrera Lavers, A., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **472**, 4659
- Villar-Martín, M., Tadhunter, C., Morganti, R., & Holt, J. 2005, *MNRAS*, **359**, L5
- Villar-Martín, M., Tadhunter, C., Pérez, E., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **407**, L6
- Walcher, C. J., Coelho, P. R. T., Gallazzi, A., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **582**, A46
- Weaver, J., Husemann, B., Kuntschner, H., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **614**, A32
- Weilbacher, P. M., Streicher, O., Urrutia, T., et al. 2014, in *ASP Conf. Ser.* **485**, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIII*, ed. N. Manset & P. Forshay (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 451
- Wyckoff, S., Gehren, T., Morton, D. C., et al. 1980, *ApJL*, **242**, L59
- Xia, X. Y., Gao, Y., Hao, C.-N., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **750**, 92
- Zubovas, K., Nayakshin, S., King, A., & Wilkinson, M. 2013, *MNRAS*, **433**, 3079